

Response ID ANON-3NHQ-DDDM-K

Submitted to Proposed refinements to the regulation of personalised medical devices
Submitted on 2021-06-17 13:23:22

Introduction

1 What is your name?

Name:

[REDACTED]

2 What is your work title?

Work title:

[REDACTED]

3 What is your email address?

Email:

[REDACTED]

4 What is your company/organisation?

Organisation:

Footwork Podiatric Laboratory

5 What is your company/organisation address?

Please provide the business address of your company or organisation.:

[REDACTED]

6 Which industry do you work in, or represent?

Please state the industry that you work in, or are representing in your submission.:

Podiatry

7 Are you responding:

On behalf of an organisation

Exclusion

8 Do you agree with the rationale for the proposed exclusion of products?

Yes

If you answered 'no' to the above question, why do you disagree with the rationale for the proposed exclusion of the products listed?:

9 Are the risks posed by the products adequately managed if they are excluded from regulation by the TGA?

Yes

Please explain your response, including by providing examples that illustrate and/or support your position.:

10 Are there further products that meet the principles proposed for exclusion? What are they and why should they be excluded?

Ensure your answer directly addresses (1) why the products pose no, or insignificant, levels of risk or (2) why the product does not meet the definition of a medical device. :

Exemption

11 Do you agree with the rationale for the proposed exemption of Class I non-sterile, non-measuring patient-matched devices when produced under the circumstances listed in the consultation paper?

No

If you answered 'no' to the above question, please explain why it is that you disagree with the rationale for the proposed exemption of Class I non-sterile, non-measuring patient-matched medical devices?:

We believe there should be a mechanism of oversight for orthotic manufacturers providing devices to AHPRA registered prescribing practitioners

12 Can the risks posed by Class I non-sterile, non-measuring patient-matched medical devices when produced under the circumstances listed in the consultation paper be adequately managed if they are exempted from inclusion in the ARTG?

Yes

Please explain your response, including by providing examples that illustrate and/or support your position.:

13 Are there further circumstances where Class I non-sterile, non-measuring patient-matched devices could be exempt? If so, what measures are in place to manage the risks associated with the devices?

Ensure your answer directly addresses the specific circumstances that are in place (such as qualifications, accreditation, certification, etc) to ensure that the risks associated with the manufacture of the devices have been managed and the Australian regulatory requirements for medical devices have been met before they are supplied.:

Orthotics should be exempt. There is an established process of training and manufacturing that's been developed and refined over many years:

- University qualification of podiatrists
- Ongoing AHPRA requirements of CPD (Professional Development) to ensure podiatrists are equipped to adequately prescribe orthotics
- Education provided by our laboratory to our prescribing practitioners to ensure their continued understanding of orthotic prescription
- The design being manufactured is prescribed by a trained, qualified and AHPRA registered podiatrist and 'signed off' by this practitioner before issuing the device to the patient. This has always been the case and regulating the process won't change this.
- There are pre-existing established consumer laws that moderate quality of provision and product suitability that cover the mandated requirements and standardisation of the product for manufacturing.
- Regular research is conducted around the risks associated with our products.

Alternative approaches we should consider

18 Are there alternative mechanisms for minimising the regulatory burden for patient-matched medical devices without compromising patient health and safety that you would like to propose?

If applicable, please write your response in the text box below.:

The existing mechanisms we feel are satisfactory

Required final step - Publishing my response

19 Do you consent to the Department collecting the information requested in Citizen Space about you, including any sensitive information, for the purposes of this consultation?

I consent

20 I consent to the submission made by me being published on the Department's website, and accessible to the public, including persons overseas, in accordance with the following preference:

Publish response, without my name but including my organisation's name

21 If there are specific answers you have provided that you do not wish to be published, please indicate these below.

Please state below any specific questions that you do not wish to have your answers to published.:

22 May we contact you for further information or to seek feedback about how the consultation was undertaken?

Yes

23 By making a submission, I acknowledge that:

I acknowledge the above