

Submission 11: Submitted by multiple organisations/individuals separately

Please choose either Option 1 or Option 2 of the options being considered

Option 1 – Keep homeopathy regulated the way it is.

Option 2 – Keep it the way it is but require scientific evidence for high level claims.

Option 3 – Exempt homeopathy from listing.

Option 4 – Declare homeopathic products NOT to be therapeutic goods.

Apparently, at the moment, it appears that Option 4 is the TGA's preferred option, and this is because of the TGA's dependence on the flawed [NHMRC Report on Homeopathy](#) [which is currently being reviewed by an ombudsman because of the irregular manner in which it was prepared], and the equally flawed [UK Science and Technology Report](#) that was ultimately rejected by the UK Parliament.

Please look at the [Swiss Homeopathy in Healthcare Report](#). Don't make a one sided decision please.

The TGA's role is to protect public safety. Homeopathy has been in use for over 200 years, and if something doesn't work, it doesn't stay around and be the second highest used form of health care. As homeopathy is the safest option of any medicine available, we, and the wider homeopathic community, suggest you support Option 1 and/or Option 2.

- **11 submissions were received using the template above or minor variations of this template.**
- **8 organisations/individuals selected a publishing restriction:**
 - 6 selected "Publish my submission only on the TGA website, do not publish my name or work title"
 - 1 selected "Do not publish my name or work title or my submission on the TGA website"
 - 1 did not select any publishing option or include a cover letter.
- **3 organisations/individuals indicated that their submissions could be published on the TGA website, including their name and work title as it appears on their submission.**