

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Re: Options for the future regulation of low risk products.

My family and I have benefited from complimentary modalities of medicine over many years.

As part of our choice in health care we have included homeopathic remedies successfully and safely over generations, in my own case for four decades now. We do not want to see a change to the current situation, which will alter the choices we have of the conscious participation in our health care and its outcomes.

Simply put it is a no to option 4, which would restrict the availability of choices for our chosen health care path. We understand that the role of the TGA is to protect rather than to restrict citizen's choices and access to what we choose.

While noting a highly selective and what appears to be a prejudicial use of research domestically in relation to Homeopathy and its effectiveness, homeopathy is a well respected and trusted therapeutic approach internationally, where much research has been done. There is an institutionalized prejudice against homeopathy within areas of the conventional medical arena, which are not based on objective research but rather upon antipathetic selective criteria.

To Summarize: As an Australian citizen who believes that I have the right and ability to take the leading role in my health care needs / choices, which includes a choice of various complimentary modalities including homeopathy, I do not want Option 4.