

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
11th May 2017

Re: Submission to TGA on Future Regulation of "Low Risk Products"

I am a 65 year old pensioner and have used homeopathic and other natural medicines all my life.

I am highly sensitive to chemicals and allergic to many products including common pharmaceutical medicines.

I am very concerned that my choices in this regard will be restricted severely by any change made by the TGA in regard to these natural and low risk medicines.

I strongly support:

- **Option 1** – Keep homeopathy regulated the way it is and/or
- **Option 2** – Keep it the way it is but require scientific evidence for high level claims.

I strongly oppose Option 3 and especially Option 4.

The TGA's role is to protect public safety, not to make value judgements on faulty evidence. Homeopathy is the safest option of any medicine available and I am concerned at the implications that could arise if options 3 or 4 become law. Option 2 still preserves homoeopathic products as therapeutic goods but brings them into line with other medicines.

It is ludicrous in the extreme to consider banning or restricting the use of safe and natural medicines when our medical system is rife with side effects from high risk drugs that are proven to cause harm and yet are still readily available.

Don't try and 'fix' something that isn't broken and isn't unsafe.