

Response to the Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA)

I am so sorry you are considering declaring homeopathy not therapeutic.

I am a fan of homeopathy and see investigating it a waste of time and money.

Who decided it should be investigated? Do they stand, or stand for someone else, to make money if homeopathy is banned? Do they have connections with drug companies? Why don't you go after them?

The middle ground would appear to require scientific evidence but that would not work because it costs money and homeopathy doesn't have money behind it.

So you have a therapy that costs very little and uses such small amounts of medicating substances that they cannot be isolated from the finished product. At worst the remedies do nothing. At best they help people. Why bother to put them under scrutiny?

I live in the UK so I don't suppose my opinion counts but I would say:

Option 1 – Keep homeopathy regulated the way it is.

DO NOT Declare homeopathic products NOT to be therapeutic goods. -

Option 4

Note that the Queen uses homeopathy and is still working hard at 91 years old!

Homeopathy is recognized by the World Health Organization (WHO) as the most popular and widely used complementary medicine worldwide so why would Australia even think of banning it?