

12 May 2017

To Whom It May Concern:

RE: Consultation: Options for the future regulation of 'low risk' products

Homeopathy is a safe option and I want to state in the strongest terms I can that I support option 1 or 2

I emphatically reject options 3 and 4. They infringe on my rights and my ability to access homeopathy.

I am most concerned that the TGA is making value judgements on faulty evidence. The NHMRC report is with the Ombudsman and should certainly not be cited in this consultation paper. You cannot use a report that set a higher standard to Homeopathy than the TGA currently use to assess efficacy and will use in the future to assess efficacy.

The UK government review cited in the consultation paper was rejected by the **UK Government** and therefore I do not understand how it has been mentioned to imply any sort of validity.

I can buy a drug like Ventolin with no prescription. It has countless side effects. My son has lived with those side effects. Homeopathy has no toxic side effects. It is safe and has been in my experience effective. Don't infringe on my rights to access it. I disagree in the strongest terms. I surely have the right to access a medicine and make choices for my family that have low risks and great benefits.

Please, choose option 1 or 2.

Sincerely,

██████████