

Responses received: Consumer survey on increased online access to ingredient information

Between 29 August and 10 October 2019, the Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA) sought feedback from interested parties on a proposal to [publish the names of excipient ingredients](#) used in therapeutic goods in the public view of the Australian Register for Therapeutic Goods (ARTG).

This consultation was responding to consumer frustrations that they cannot easily access this information through existing mechanisms. By making this information available in the public ARTG view, which is available on the TGA website, we hope to help consumers make more informed and safer choices about their medicines.

For this consultation we trialed a new way for people to provide us with their input. Consumers could choose to share their views through either the standard submission process or a quick survey.

We received 402 responses to the quick survey. Of these, 311 respondents gave permission to publish their response in full. These are listed in the table below.

Survey responses

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
Helpful. Would be good if the flavour is named at least to help tracking of reactions.	I react more to colouring, flavouring and preservatives. I do not expect to see the ingredients list for the ingredients themselves (eg ingredient breakdown of type of capsule shell used).	Excipients information (names only, not expecting proportions) would be helpful for helping to detect which excipients may be the cause of reactions. This also aids avoidance of excipients known to be an issue.	Option A
Include everything it's only fair	Include everything	No	Option B

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
No, I think all ingredients should be clearly displayed	No, I think all ingredients should be clearly displayed	No, I think all ingredients should be clearly displayed	Option B
People with allergies, sensitivities and food chemical reactions need good information. This option provides a lot of information, except for people with unusual allergies	Sounds fair, except where ingredients are known allergens. I think a company should always be made to answer honestly if a consumer calls and asks if a certain ingredient is present	This option places people at risk, especially those with severe or unusual allergies	Option A
Prefer this option so I can decide if ingredients would trigger anaphylaxis due to mammal meat allergy or AlpaGal	This option will not assist someone who experiences anaphylaxis to i.e gelatin.	Insufficient information for mammal meat allergy suffers	Option A
Not enough. Everything must be listed. I have uncommon multiple allergies and getting a pharmacist to check all components is time consuming and at times not possible. Contacting the manufacturer for confirmation has taken up to 5 days. It is terrifying to take a gamble with medication.	Being allergic to gelatine and carrageenan means I NEED to know what the capsule is made from. Being chemically sensitive means I NEED to know EVERYTHING I put in my mouth / inject or inhale. Creates a lot of anxiety not knowing and waiting to see. I have currently stopped a prescribed medication due to side effects and hence need to revisit my Gp etc etc if more Indy was available this may be avoided.	Not acceptable. Surely my life and lives of others with allergies is worth more. None of these options are enough. LIST EVERY SINGLE INGREDIENT AND ORIGIN (plant or animal) I have an Alpha-Gal allergy in addition to multiple food and environmental allergies.	Option A
Best option but really do need to know what's in a flavour/fragrance for those with allergies.	Better but I'd be concerned what's in a cream base, capsule etc.	No, we need more information than this.	Option A
I think all components should be listed, including flavour/fragrance, but this is	More info than this is needed, capsules, coatings etc can still affect people's allergies, therefore their ingredients should be listed	This isn't good enough	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
the best choice of the options you've given			
Not good enough - all ingredients need to be listed. Flavour and fragrance can set off allergic reactions just as much as any other ingredient, and fragrances are hugely important for people with smell-sensitive conditions, including migraine. Full transparency is needed.	NOT GOOD ENOUGH. Capsule shell formulations are huge sources of hidden allergens and animal products, and colours are highly prone to causing reactions, not to mention may not be vegan or food safe.	Not good enough. All ingredients need to be listed - having inactive ingredients hidden just makes it harder for people to find medication that works for them and makes accessing treatment even harder.	Option A
Not good enough. Flavours may contain ingredients that my child is anaphylactic to	Not good enough. Allergies are real and becoming more prevalent	Not good enough. My child has multiple food allergies. Anaphylactic to dairy. All I get on the box of most prescriptions is "does not contain lactose" lactose does not equal dairy. Then I get to call the company hotline. And they can't help me either. I can buy cereal for my child, confident that he won't die, but I DO NOT have that confidence when filling a script.	Option A
Given sensitivities and intolerances, as well as 'true IgE' allergies, I think we need as much detailed information about medications as we do about food, and this seems our best bet! People can have allergies, including anaphylactic reactions, to allergens other than common foods such as peanut or soy, or to other substances.	This is slightly better but not good enough. We need full disclosure, the same as we get on packaged foods (with hopefully better attention and less mistakes).	This is awful! Too much risk. And too much pressure on patients, doctors and pharmacists to research, call companies etc to check for allergy safety.	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
This is by far the safest and most responsible option.			
Having an allergy child and reactions myself to top allergens and different fruits and vegetables, not having this information available to access online means contacting companies who often don't call back with the information because they don't want to give away proprietary info/flavour, all the while delaying having the right medication at the right time. It's frustrating.	This option would be best but for some, like our situation may not go far enough. At least more access will be available 24/7 with it being online. Given Top allergens are different in different countries, at least having to name the top 10-15 allergens on their labels may also help in case these are part of the proprietary formulations.	This is stressful, reduces access to the right information at the right time and keeps consumers in the dark especially when they do have a reaction. Not an option.	Option B
Preferred option, although believe ALL ingredients should be displayed. As much information and transparency should be available as possible. Labelling of ingredients should be comprehensive like food labelling. As parent of child with food allergies, we have experienced multiple reactions to filler ingredients in medications in that are not labelled. This is scary, frustrating and could easily be avoided with better labelling.	Believe this would still be inadequate for consumers that suffer allergies.	Believe that current labelling is insufficient, particularly for consumers that suffer allergies. Is is very tedious calling/emailing manufacturers to try and find out information regarding 'filler ingredients' in products. Particularly with so so many brand and generic medications available.	Option A
All ingredients	Yes		Option B

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
Absolutely necessary and so much appreciated. Medications and over the counter drugs are so difficult to determine the ingredients. Being able to compare in some (and very tragic cases for me) the drug to try with the least risk of anaphylaxis would absolutely improve my quality of life. Please see my reply to option b as to why it should ALL be listed.	I have anaphylaxis to Soy. I was required to take some vit d while pregnant - and nearly died. Soy is a top 8 allergen - and all I could find out about it was it was halal. I have anaphylaxis to birch, it also includes nuts and soy but also vegetable gum etc. some antihistamines - supposed to save my life - contain top 8 allergens - and there's no way to even check in some cases ! If foods require labelling, makeup and body wash require labelling - it is essential that all things we Place in our bodies and rely on are safe for people To check their ingredients.	It's extremely dangerous for me and precludes me from receiving treatment for simple things like: athlete's foot, to some pain relief, most medicated creams and even some (life saving allergy drugs). Once again If the food we consume require listing of all ingredients, so does the medication. I find the lack of clarity so dangerous I now use a compound chemist for most medications.	Not Answered
Those with specific allergies outside of the common allergens need to be aware of all the ingredients in all parts of a medicine. Anything less than this is dangerous and unhelpful.	Again, those with specific allergies outside of the common allergens need to be aware of all the ingredients in all parts of a medicine. Anything less than this is dangerous and unhelpful.	Those with specific allergies outside of the common allergens need to be aware of all the ingredients in all parts of a medicine. Anything less than this is dangerous and unhelpful.	Option A
This is a good option. The more ingredients listed, the better. Consumers can make better informed choices. Easier to identify allergens.	Common allergens, preservatives and colours should be named.	Not good enough. Consumers should have a right to know the ingredients in medicines.	Option A
We need full disclosure of EVERY ingredient and what it is derived from including major allergens but also lesser known allergens and anything	Full disclosure of EVERY ingredient and what it is derived from including major allergens but also lesser known allergens and anything derived from our grown/cultured on food based ingredients.	Full disclosure of EVERY ingredient and what it is derived from including major allergens but also lesser known allergens and anything derived from our grown/cultured on food based ingredients.	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
derived from our grown/cultured on food based ingredients.		Option C is dangerous and not good enough by any means.	
Not sure what value this adds as flavored and fragrance are usually more allergenic.	This is a good option. Who determines what's proprietary? Could companies just state its proprietary to not list it?	Doesnt increase visibility of potential allergens	Option B
No	Just acceptable	Completely unacceptable. I am sick of asking pharmacists every time and having to explain my allergies	Option A
still limiting in information, the more i know about what is in the medication the better, still risky for those with allergies and intolerances	Would like to see more information about what preservatives are used	We need more information available	Option A
Ideal option. I have an intolerance to amines plus suspect other intolerances. It would be great to have access to the ingredients to ensure I'm not consuming something that will make me sick, or if I have no option, at least I'd be aware I was consuming this chemical and can take steps to minimise the effect	Proprietary information shouldn't prevent consumers from knowing what they are consuming. Putting money above patients is wrong.	Inactive ingredients can cause reactions if you have food/chemical intolerances so they should also be listed.	Option A
No- list everything! I have alpha gal-mammalian meat allergy and no one can help me with safe medications. I need to phone the manufacturer	This DOES not protect me from having an anaphylactic reaction to mammal sourced products. Creams may be lanolin based, capsules may be gelatine, and flavouring / preservatives	No! My greatest fear is being in a situation where medicines will be administered without knowledge of the ingredients that may be mammal based. Mammalian meat allergy (alpha-	Not Answered

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
EVERY time I need a medicine and often THEY can't tell me if it is mammal free and say they will get back to me. Lack of transparency is a life threatening situation for me so I need everything to be listed clearly.	may include mammal products such as carrageenan, glycerin, dairy, magnesium stearate etc. All ingredients need to be listed.	gal) is life threatening and the most difficult thing for me is to find safe medicines that do not have mammal products. Most vaccines are dangerous for me and at the moment one can tell me what is safe- not even the pharmacist.	
No. All should be listed.	No. All need to be listed including the factory details of what is made there to allow for cross contamination and allergies. This allows patients to make informed choices.	No. To make a informed decision about what medication to take all information should be declared. Everything from factory exposures for cross contamination to be able to declare traces of to fillers, coatings and colours,flavoring, fragrance and ingredients active or not.	Option A
I think this is the best option. The prevalence of allergies is rising and it is very important that we know the ingredients of items that are going to be consumed. I often have to call companies and ask about ingredients due to my sons multiple food allergies. Clearer and more comprehensive medicine labeling is necessary.	This option can be confusing and misleading if people are being given most of the ingredients but not all of them. They may choose to use the medicine without knowing it contains and ingredient that they avoid due to allergies or preference. I think full disclosure (option A) is better.	This doesn't provide the consumer with enough information when dealing with allergies. It is very time consuming to call individual companies about ingredients and can usually only be done monday to Friday during office hours.	Option A
Some people may be allergic to the flavourings so they need to be listed.	Things like coating solutions need to be listed as some people may be allergic to some chemicals that bind these medications.	Things like flavourings need to be listed. From experience I can say i have had a major allergic reaction because a medication didn't say it contained strawberry syrup as the flavouring in the medication.	Option C

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
Flavour and fragrance should still be labelled but this is probably the safest option.	Cream bases, capsule, preservatives, and coatings etc. often are plant based and have the potential to cause harm to those with food allergies. I think for safety sake all information should be available to consumers and readily accessible.	This option endangers consumers by not being transparent about what is being used in and on our bodies. We should have the right to see all ingredients so we can choose the best product for us as individuals.	Option A
Option A will assist in providing information about most allergens. My children are sensitive to most artificial flavours, and some natural flavours along with some preservatives. Why can't all ingredients be listed for medicines? Could artificial flavours, colours and fragrances be banned from use in consumables in Australia?	Option B will not assist me in determining if a medicine is safe for my child to use. With multiple anaphylactic food allergens and sensitivities to most colours, flavours and preservatives a medicine could cause a reaction.	Option C has been rather useless in determining the safety of medication for my children.	Option A
Information on ALL ingredients should be made available. For someone with Salicylate Intolerance, flavours and fragrances can cause a detrimental reaction and make a person ill for days afterwards.	It is essential for someone with Salicylate Intolerance to be aware of ALL ingredients. The unknown ingredients of capsule shells, cream bases, coating solutions and especially preservatives and colours can cause a serious reaction that can make a person ill for days afterwards.	In the UK we have ALL active and inactive ingredients made available for us. This is ABSOLUTELY an ESSENTIAL requirement to preserve health and prevent detrimental health reactions with regard to a wide range of intolerance. Those of us with Salicylate Intolerance (of which there are many around the world -the Royal Prince Arthur Hospital in NSW can confirm) are living a constant struggle to lead normal lives without the added complication of unknown ingredients in our medicines.	Not Answered

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
<p>This could have the best effect, however the flavour/fragrance ingredient mix is still integral to some with complex medical conditions. My daughter became severely intolerant to ‘fillers’ and flavours in medicines (ie: specialists determined Anna could only tolerate white tablets (eg: some antihistamines were coloured blue) which caused her allergic symptoms to become more complicated to resolve. Other pain medicines (NSAIDS) had flavours and these caused her other ghastly symptoms. We needed then to know what we know now: a lot more declaration and integrity could have prevented a young human from a huge battle at the time. She still has problems with these elements in medicines.</p>	<p>Protecting IP is one thing until it comes to the welfare of a human. Better to declare what’s in the whole item than not declare due to pre commercialisation and protections. Integrity is everything. Public ownership is the answer here, rather than greedy corporations playing roulette with human lives, and/or testing on animals.</p>	<p>Inactive ingredients can still pose a problem for sensitive patients. Doctors and patients need to know what is ingested. Integrity is everything.</p>	<p>Option A</p>
<p>This would help a lot, however, all ingredients should be listed including flavour or fragrances, particularly in medicines for children. This should be extended for all products including vitamins and toothpaste</p>	<p>This is not helpful.</p>	<p>The current requirements are hopeless and make choosing medicine for children with uncommon intolerances and sensitivity to additives a minefield, sometimes the affect of the medicine is worse than the condition</p>	<p>Option A</p>

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
Best option of the three. But what about us that are sensitive to Phenols (salicylates), amines and sulfites/sulfates? These are often found in the flavour/fragrance mix.			Option A
Excluding ingredients doesn't help when it comes to allergies. If I know that something has been excluded then I'll wonder if an allergen is in the excluded ingredients. All I care about is whether it includes the top 9 allergens or not.	Excluding ingredients doesn't help when it comes to allergies. If I know that something has been excluded then I'll wonder if an allergen is in the excluded ingredients. All I care about is whether it includes the top 9 allergens or not.	Why do we have to wait 4 years to know if the top 9 allergens are in products? I understand that a little bit of time is needed to implement the changes, but 4 years is excessive and needlessly putting the safety and lives of the community at risk.	Not Answered
This would be very helpful for people with allergies or sensitivities. It would be even better if ALL ingredients could be listed	Capsule shells etc could contain allergens and therefore their ingredients should be listed too.	ALL ingredients should be listed. People have a right to know what they are taking.	Option A
I believe all ingredients should be listed.	No. All ingredients should be included in the list. I suffer from Anaphylaxis to nuts and fish and this would greatly assist in feeling more confident about medications.	Not good enough. It is 2019, we should have access to a complete ingredient list	Option B
Makes sense, but do say if flavours and fragrances are used.	Maybe also list preservatives people might react badly to.	No. It means generics can't be compared to originals. What if someone's not allergic to the active ingredient but the filler?	Option A
	This is important for people like me who are allergic to mammal products		Option B

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
All ingredients shouldn't be listed and the allergic reactions which could be associated.		I don't think the current information is clear. My son is anaphylactic to eggs and every time he gets shots, the doctor always complains the information is ambiguous about the egg reaction	Option A
My kid reacts to colours & flavours do not great	My kid also reacts to preservatives so important to know which one is used	Ridiculous!	Not Answered
I have the alpha gal allergy. Most helpful for me would be full disclosure of ingredients. At one stage I was allergic to meat, dairy, corn, garlic, cauliflower so knowing all ingredients would be reassuring.	Full disclosure is necessary for me to know if there are any meat or dairy ingredients in any part of the medication due to alpha gal allergy.	Full disclosure of ALL ingredients is most helpful to me to know what is safe - alpha gal allergy.	Option A
If the ingredients of a flavour or fragrance in a mix are available online and accessible, this would suffice.	If the proprietary mix are standardised and available to view this option would suffice.	This option does not provide enough information for people with allergies to inactive ingredients.	Option A
Not enough information, flavour and fragrance chemicals also need to be known. This is the best of the 3 options, but still lacking in complete transparency	Not enough information	Not enough information	Option A
No complete disclosure so I vote no.	No. Complete disclose or nothing at all.	No ! Complete disclosure will be the only option I would approve and on top of that I want source information ie: is it bovine porcine and the like.	Option A
			Option B

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
I believe this will be a huge help as I often have to contact companies as we do not know if dairy or egg is in the excipient of ingredients.	We really need to know the ingredients to every part.	This is not good enough. For children with allergies the stress in taking certain products is huge and getting safe antibiotics for instance very hard.	Option A
All ingredients should be displayed. No exceptions. I have been diagnosed by 2 immunologist as allergic to Alpha gal derived from mammalian meat. I also have an allergic reaction to gelatine & mammalian dairy products. I find it very difficult to avoid bovine derived magnesium stearate in medications. Is there another manufacturing process that could be explored ? & Disclosed? Generic disclosure of flavour or fragrance is of no use & insufficient to protect against risk of anaphylaxis.	Not safe enough. No clarity. I don't want the recipe or proportion but I need all ingredients to be disclosed. I would have to refuse purchase these products due to risk of consumption of undisclosed ingredients	Not safe for use. I need to avoid triggers for anaphylaxis so I need to know if there is any mammalian derived content. This is especially important for vaccines.	Option A
My opinion is the same for all options - as far as allergies are concerned, there's no real benefit to changing the ingredient information online unless ALL ingredients are included - regardless of where in the mix they are	My opinion is the same for all options - as far as allergies are concerned, there's no real benefit to changing the ingredient information online unless ALL ingredients are included - regardless of where in the mix they are	My opinion is the same for all options - as far as allergies are concerned, there's no real benefit to changing the ingredient information online unless ALL ingredients are included - regardless of where in the mix they are. I think there should be an option D - all ingredients listed. It is currently very hard to find out ingredients for medicines. On the	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
		two occasions I've tried to find out if dairy is present in worm tablets and a dentist fluoride paste and it's been impossible for a lay person to know. And pharmacists and dentists have also been unable to help.	
better than what is available but often flavour is used to mask allergic ingredients like dairy or soy etc so if it says flavour it then needs to list in bold outside the allergic ingredient. just guide us on what is not in the product etc	still it is great, but what does the others have in it? for example, are the capsule shell vegan or contain animal derived sources, for us with allergies to bovine and bovine by products as well it makes it really hard to navigate. so while i am not interested in the actual ingredient mix i do want to know if it is vegan, animal sourced or contains soy, dairy and so on. i am sure others will want to know other top 8 allergens too. Are the ingredients natural or synthetic.. etc i should have a choice and information should be readily available.	no ! this is what needs to change ! It is a nightmare to find out what is in the inactive ingredients. I should be able to know and have a choice on products. i dont need to know the specific formula but i should be able to easily find out if the product is vegan or animal derived products, contains top 8, preferably top 14 allergens and so on.	Option B
Support. I also think the ingredients that make up 'flavour' ought to be included. Why as consumer's can we be told EVERYTHING that is in a product? For example, fragrance can have substances in it that are hormone Inhibitors.	Support except all ingredients should be included, such as capsule shell formulations, preservative mixes etc.	No I don't not support.	Option B
Should display all ingredients	Yes they should as if you are allergic you need to know, for examples lactose is used in a lot of the		Option B

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
	suspensions and some capsules. Lactose can cause severe reactions as they do in my son		
sometimes flavour can be an allergen, eg milk, hazelnut, kiwi. I think the common name should be listed if its known allergen	think they should all have the common name, as cream bases may have nut eg almonds, milk	No don't agree	Option A
Insufficient, ingredients need to be all inclusive to be useful	Insufficient, ingredients need to be all inclusive to be useful Or specifically call out a full list of allergens that will or will not be found if proprietary needs to be maintained	Insufficient, ingredients need to be all inclusive to be useful	Option B
			Option A
Yes good. At the very least, you want all the top allergens listed if they are present. But it would be good if at least the pharmacist had access to ALL ingredients (perhaps including flavour and fragrance if those contain anything that people can be allergic to) - so that anyone with less common allergies is able to check.	Not as good as A - there could be allergens in the proprietary ingredients mix	Not ideal. I don't want to have to ring the manufacturer and go through the rigmarole to not even be confident in the answers I'm getting, before I can medicate a sick child.	Option A
All ingredients should be listed even if a food colouring. Although if there aren't any foods associated, I'll be happy with that.	I would prefer more transparency on the ingredient list	Definitely not. I have kids allergic to multiple foods as well as the G6PD gene & certain foods / chemicals can trigger it.	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
Ok but I would prefer access to all information. I'd prefer to have all the information even if it is just to rule out the medication as the cause of the allergy.	Not as good as option A but better than option C. I'd prefer to have all the information even if it is just to rule out the medication as the cause of the allergy.	Not option C. I have long suspected my medications are disrupting my allergies.	Option A
flavours and fragrances ingredients can be problematic also	America seems to do this, working so far. The following is an example from iherb Main Ingredients Vitamin C (as ascorbic acid) Aroma, color, consistency and taste may vary. Color shift is normal and cannot be avoided. Other Ingredients Modified cellulose (vegetarian capsule). This product is not manufactured with milk, eggs, fish, crustacean shellfish, tree nuts, peanuts, wheat, soy or gluten. Produced in a third-party, audited and registered cGMP compliant facility that may process other products that contain these allergens or ingredients.	Not enough information	Option B
It doesn't give sufficient information	It all depends what allergens are present.	Not good enough, really	Option A
I think this is a must. There are allergens present that could kill			Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
someone and the information is very difficult to find. At times of stress and illness this type of information should be easy to find and easily identifiable.			
All known allergen ingredients used should be published.	All known allergen ingredients used should be published.	All known allergen ingredients used should be published.	Option A
You need to display all the ingredients, if it is a vitamin that is being bought from a supermarket, the person buying the item will not have access to a pharmacist who can look up the ingredients. Ingredients need to be clear and in plain English, if it is cow's milk, that needs to be included. All food products in creams, hair products etc also need to be included as putting allergens on the skin will also cause people to react.	Need to display all ingredients.	Need to list all ingredients.	Option A
Bad idea my son is allergic to strawberries, I react only to some capsule sugar coatings You need to list all that can be made available. Sometimes mine react with each other	All ingredients of any sort including excipients and proprietary stuff. Chemists are always telling me to switch to a cheaper brand but it's the fillers etc that change	Nope not good enough	Option B

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
This would be extremely helpful as I often have to ask the pharmacist to look up the information and sometimes it cannot be found and therefore I am too afraid to give it to my son (severe multiple food and antibiotic allergies that cause anaphylactic shock). Or I give it to him but feel very stressed for a while because I don't know if he will react to it.	This is not sufficient. I need to know what preservatives and colourings are included as my son has reacts to some preservatives and is allergic to the colouring E120.	A poor substitute for knowing more and having to research it myself.	Option A
individuals need access to full ingredients list to make an informed decision	individuals need access to full ingredients list to make an informed decision	individuals need access to full ingredients list to make an informed decision	Option A
Not good enough, they should list every ingredient used in any part of the manufacturing process. As someone who develops new anaphylactic allergies every few months, by not having complete access to the ingredients in a medication my life is put at risk.	Not good enoughNot good enough, they should list every ingredient used in any part of the manufacturing process. As someone who develops new anaphylactic allergies every few months, by not having complete access to the ingredients in a medication my life is put at risk.	Not good enoughNot good enough, they should list every ingredient used in any part of the manufacturing process. As someone who develops new anaphylactic allergies every few months, by not having complete access to the ingredients in a medication my life is put at risk.	Not Answered
No, flavors can still be derived from allergens and should be listed	Require top 14 allergens to be listed even if proprietary	No, increase information available	Option B
Disagree. ALL ingredients need to be listed. There are many people who wish to use organic products and not	As option A. ALL ingredients need to be listed. Many contain chemicals, also some contain products that people are allergic to.	Disagree vehemently. People have a right to know what is in the products they buy so they can	Not Answered

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
chemicals. Fragrances are usually formed from chemicals.		choose what they wish to purchase. "Informed choice".	
It is essential to access this information. My son was on an elimination diet that included gluten free diet and what wasn't clear was whether there was wheat in one of the daily medicines (Phenergan) that he took which we were unaware of and which pharmacists gave me different information on. I then did not know whether to proceed with his endoscopy. This information is vital.	The more information available the better. My son has a number of allergies - not all common ones- that make him very ill and it is impossible to know what to avoid.	This is not acceptable when you have a child who is extremely ill due to allergic diseases (including eosinophilic esophagitis) who takes numerous medications daily. I need to know what is in his medication to know if he is being exposed to a trigger.	Option B
		Would like all known allergen, including traces. To be required on labeled in bold. Similar to food labelling.	Option C
Not helpful enough. Allergies can arise from flavours and fragrances.	Same thoughts as A. Not helpful enough.	Least useful	Option A
This is the best option but still not enough. I think there should be full disclosure.	Still requires more disclosure	Not suitable as excipients can make up a large percentage of the medication.	Option A
This would be okay, my main wish would be to have clear information about any common allergens, especially milk or eggs.	Again, happy with this if it allows more information about common allergens like milk or egg	Not good enough, change is required	Option B

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
As someone allergic to colours, this would be helpful but not far enough	Again, I think we have a right to know what we are ingesting	This is virtually useless to me! I have quiz the pharmacist and often they have to contact the drug companies	Option A
No. Want all information	No. Want all information	No. Want all info.	Not Answered
Flavour and fragrance can still contain allergens, which is hard to know until you have a reaction if you have an unusual allergy	as above there are some of us that have unusual allergies, it would be ideal to have more information available	I feel this is not a good option as it would be much easier for those with allergies to make informed choices with more information	Option B
This is not a safe option for consumers who are allergic to certain favours and fragrances.	This is a terrible option for people who are anaphylactic to particular preservatives such as sulphites. It would be safer for consumers to know every single ingredient in a product rather than consuming an unknown and potentially life-threatening substance.	It is not sufficient to make only active substances visible when people can be allergic to the inactive substances!	Not Answered
This would work best for my allergies, as I'm not allergic to any flavours or fragrances. Certainly not great for people who are though.	I feel there is greater risk for allergic reactions in this option. Far too many components are not labelled.	It would be good to progress to a patient centred approach for labelling, rather than a pharma focused approach. Patients should have access to info about what they are putting in their bodies. Proprietary information should not be the priority. Surely a balance can be found, particularly for people with allergies which can be literally life or death.	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
I think this is the most sensible option - not only does it give those who suffer from obscure allergies or intolerances peace of mind, but it also allows Australians to make informed choices about what they're putting in their bodies. It would also force companies to make ethical decisions about their products and ingredients, rather than cutting costs of focusing on the cheapest option for the highest profit.	Not as good as Option A, but I do see that some people would be absolutely bamboozled by all the proprietary ingredient mixes.	While some might say it is working, how many Australians actually know that can access this information? The pharmaceutical companies aren't exactly sharing this info, are they, and the TGA doesn't blow its horn about this either.	Option A
I would think that as long as the standard allergen lists are included (as per food) this would be enough.	Any allergens that are known to cause problems for people need to be listed, whether proprietary or not. I would have thought that if allergens are mentioned (without amounts) this would enable companies to meet the needs of the public without risking their secrets.	No good. Need to know whether the coating is gluten free, and also whether any other allergens are contained in the medicine	Option B
I believe this needs to be standard practice. I believe with allergy on the rise and various types of allergies on the rise More information can only help to ensure the safety of the entire community. As someone who suffers from the mammalian meat allergy, finding hidden animal products in certain medicines and foods is common		I believe with allergy on the rise and various types of allergies on the rise this is not enough anymore. As someone who suffers from the mammalian meat allergy, finding hidden animal products in certain medicines and foods is common ie gelatin etc. In fact, the thing that led to the discovery of the mammalian meat allergy was intact The anaphylaxis, and subsequent death, of someone who did not know they had the allergy and was	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
ie gelatin etc. In fact, the thing that led to the discovery of the mammalian meat allergy was intact The anaphylaxis, and subsequent death, of someone who did not know they had the allergy and was given a type of chemotherapy that contained porcine extracts.		given a type of chemotherapy that contained porcine extracts.	
This is the best option. Although common allergen should be required to be declared/ displayed at a minimum	This seems risky for people with s life threatening allergy	This option is risky, people that have a life threatening allergy to something could be putting their life at risk	Option A
			Option A
Not a true reflection on what properties the medication is made with/ from/ involved in the process of manufacturing	Risky - not a true representation of risk	Still not enough information available to public who need to avoid certain ingredients due to allergies - will need to continue consulting and researching with pharmaceutical companies or pharmacists	Option C
As a parent of a child that possesses 19 anaphylactic allergies, it would take much anxiety and worry out of administering medication to my child if ALL ingredients were listed. By this, I mean the flavours and fragrances also. Currently, I rely on the knowledge of the Pharmacist to guide my purchase	To me, this is not enough disclosure and poses a risk to my child.	We can most definitely do better than this!	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
<p>decisions. Even 'uncommon' allergens can cause horrendous reactions for my child., but if I know the medicine contains the allergen then my decision is clear. This information is critical to some people, and would better guide purchase choices for the safe use of medicine.</p>			
<p>You need to state at a minimum that flavors or fragrance have been added so consumers can know not to purchase (if they desire - and I do desire) You cannot return items so its unfair to rip consumers off by hiding this fact. The information needs to be on the packet, particularly if allergies are likely to be involved (Anaphylaxis to Egg, Peanut and Sunflower Seed and intolerant to dairy here so we need to avoid sunflower seed oil/peanut oil as well as dairy products - all ingredients need to be clearly labeled, just because sunflower seed allergy is uncommon, doesn't mean the ingredient should be hidden). My mum is allergic to lavender and my husband to birch. Why can't we</p>	<p>Capsule shell formations being hidden? Why can't I know if there are phthalates in the products I'm consuming? Do you have 40 years of data for every product to know its safe? No. Then if I don't want to be a guinea pig.I should have the right to know what products contain phthalates (or other chemicals so I can research them to see what the latest research is saying). No ingredients should be hidden. There are so many things I want to avoid and its unfair to take the choice away from me. Furthermore, when ingredients change my desire to use a product may change, but if this is hidden/new formula not disclosed, simply because its "proprietary" my choices are further eroded and I'm stuck buying a product I won't be able to get a refund for once I've used it. FYI - I was thankful when smoking was banned in nightclubs and out the front of most public</p>	<p>This is unacceptable. At the end of the day there needs to be more information online and on all the packets of medications (and vitamins for that matter - I avoid buying Australian made products for that reason - thank god for the internet). It is unfair for consumers for the limited disclosure currently afforded. These three options are unfair for consumers. I want to reiterate that a good industrial chemist can experiment and recreate proprietary formulas, so I think the real reason companies don't want to disclose it is because they know consumers will not choose their product.</p>	<p>Option A</p>

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
<p>know if these are in products?</p> <p>At the end of the day, even if a fragrance or flavour is propriety a good industrial chemist can work out how to recreate it - so brands are always at risk of copycats, I think the real reason companies don't want to reveal ingredients is because consumers are becoming more aware of risks/issues, and take an active interest and therefore are worried consumers won't purchase products.</p>	<p>buildings. Why should other people take my choice of non-smoking away from me? Its the same in the case of pharmaceuticals, why should multinational corporations have the right to remove my ability to make an informed decision about products?</p>		
<p>I think all ingredients should be on display. Of the options this soybean my preference.</p>	<p>All ingredients should be displayed- cream bases and capsule shells are sometimes very important.</p>	<p>I think the regulations should be changed.</p>	<p>Option A</p>
<p>the disclosure requirements should be identical to food labelling laws. If a medicine is consumed and there is a risk of an allergic reaction then the consumer needs the information available to make an informed decision. Option A is unacceptable because of the risk that the flavour or fragrance triggers an allergic reaction.</p>	<p>the disclosure requirements should be identical to food labelling laws. If a medicine is consumed and there is a risk of an allergic reaction then the consumer needs the information available to make an informed decision. Option B should disclose ingredients that could risk an allergic reaction I'm a way that doesn't give away their propriety rights</p>	<p>I disagree - the risk is too high for consumers with severe allergies. The product manufacturer has a duty of care to disclose information that may make their product harmful or deadly to people with allergies</p>	<p>Option B</p>

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
This is the best of you options. What if those ingredients are something my child is allergic to? When we've tried to work out previous allergies we've had real problems because of undisclosed ingredients being unknown. Whole classes of medicines have been off our list because we can't be sure of ingredients.	This doesn't go far enough. What if those ingredients are something my child is allergic to? When we've tried to work out previous allergies we've had real problems because of undisclosed ingredients being unknown. Whole classes of medicines have been off our list because we can't be sure of ingredients.	Please change this! It's ridiculous that medicines that are supposed to be life saving might actually make my child sick or kill him.	Option A
Please provide as much information as possible about all ingredients so that allergens can be avoided	Please provide as much information as possible about all ingredients so that allergens can be avoided	Please change to provide more information.	Option A
I think that this is the most appropriate option. I would like it extended so that if one or more of the eight common allergens are included in the flavour or fragrance ingredient mix, then these should also be noted.	Many people with allergies and/or sensitivities/intolerances would much prefer to know the ingredients that could be in the proprietary ingredient mix. I would definitely prefer to have this information available.	As a Coeliac and mother of a child with anaphylactic allergies, I find this level of labelling to be completely inadequate. Even some pharmacists have been unable to determine whether a given medication contains gluten, which means I have to assume it does and therefore avoid it. Since allergens and gluten have to be disclosed on food packaging, I believe these should be at least as clearly labelled on medication packaging as well as on the website.	Option A
All excipient ingredients including those that form part of the proprietary mix should be included.	No. insufficient, deficient and reckless to be selective about ingredient content.	No. Not good enough. It is deficient. It is unacceptable and reckless not to provide all ingredients.	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
<p>I have often had to ask the chemist if allergens form part of the medication that have been prescribed to my children.</p> <p>Everytime I have asked the Chemist they have checked the ingredients and followed with a disclaimer</p> <p>"these are just the active ingredients..."</p> <p>"just because its not listed here on the ingredients, doesn't mean its a guarantee because they only list the active ingredients..."</p> <p>The more information we have , the safer it is for everyone.</p> <p>I need to know the medications I am giving my children are safe !</p> <p>What harm is caused by disclosing as much information about the ingredients as possible?</p> <p>Why wouldn't we want his information ?</p> <p>Why put lives at risk?</p> <p>Food labelling requires all ingredients ; possible "traces of" or cross contamination risks are required.</p>			

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
Medicines are consumables , why should they be allowed to be selective about the ingredients that are consumed? Hospitals; Doctors and nurses also need to know the ingredients so they can provide the best possible care to "at risk" patients.			
Yuck.	This seems the best option. Proprietary properties are covered but my child is most likely safe. The presence of the 8 should be noted though.	I've run into trouble with this one before as casein was not listed on the packet.	Option B
Yes always especially additives and preservatives	Yes always	No not enough info and not transparent to general public. People need to feel empowered and safe about the medicines and devices they are using. EMA and MHRA are far more progressive with their labelling requirements. Australia needs to catch up.	Option B
Will this give protection to people who have mammalian meat allergy(anaphylactic condition) who need to exclude all products of mammal origins?	Unless they are veggie caps all medications dispensed in capsules cannot be taken with the above condition. Same for cream bases which often have glycerin which unless stated as vegan. Does this option relate to vaccines which are not suitable for use as they contain animal ingredients. Can feedback be given to makers of vaccines that	Not an option really as often hard to get information unless your pharmacist is very supportive. Even then am often left with you have to find out yourself! Companies sometimes will not give full disclosure even to pharmacist. Doctors are too busy.	Not Answered

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
	that some of us cannot use them for the above reason. Eg flu & shingles vaccines are very important as aging occurs. Are all preservative mixes gluten free?		
I would like to see the full ingredients list. Even the fragrances and flavours. My son is allergic to some fragrances.	I would like to see the full ingredients list. Even what the capsule is made of. My son is allergic to sunflower in all its forms which is used in many creams.	No i don't agree.	Option A
			Option B
I support this. I want the ability to compare medicines and choose based on all the ingredients not just the active. Ideally I would like flavour and fragrance ingredients to be visible too.	I don't like this option as much. I would like to know what preservatives and colours are in my medicines for example.	I don't like this option	Option A
Need to have all ingredients on display.	Need to display all ingredients.	Need to show all ingredients. I have had reactions to generic pills on numerous occasions. Need to have all information so we can make an informed decisions.	Option A
Although flavours and fragrances may not cause anaphylaxis, they can cause mild allergic reactions to those with sensitivities to the fragrance or ingredients. E.g. 102 and some of the 600 series. Therefore, it may be	Given my understanding, training, research and experience in the field that anaphylaxis is a severe adverse reaction to a protein; and, capsule coatings are derived from beef or fish gelatine, then I have a concern. For someone with a potential for mammalian meat allergy, then it may	Having worked in the medical arena, then for me at least, the more information I have is valuable. However, the general public may not be aware of, have time, or even want to access ARTG. In my view, best practice would dictate that expansion of ingredient (as in coatings, flavourings, et al)	Option B

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
prudent to list the flavour or fragrance so that in the event of a reaction (mild, moderate, severe or life threatening), an informed and valid determination can be made between the cause. i.e. the medication or the flavour/fragrance, or both	be prudent to know if capsules are beef gelatin. Likewise, someone with a fish and/or seafood allergy may also wish to know if the capsules are fish-based. With regards to cream bases, I am not sure what you mean, but if I presume cream as in from milk, then what about people with dairy/lactase allergies? If by cream-based you are referring to topical preparations, then if contain specific oils or nut bases that are known to cause anaphylaxis, I would want to know. Therefore, it may be prudent to list ingredients (Including preservatives coatings and colours) so that in the event of a reaction, an informed and valid determination can be made between the cause. i.e. the medication or the ingredient.	would be prudent. I notice that for Zolof, the excipients are noted as none and all ingredients, including glazing on the tablet, is noted, whereas panadol capsules list no excipients.	
This would be fantastic for me as some generic tablets interact with other medications I am on. As only the active ingredients are listed I don't know what is in the generic medicines so I buy the more expensive ones.	I would be worried that some of the proprietary ingredient mix may contain something that would cause a problem	I would prefer to know all the ingredients as I currently have to "wait and see" if any of the unlisted ingredients will affect me	Option A
Terrible. What if the 'flavour' mix contains something someone is allergic to?	Terrible. What if those contain something someone is allergic to? Why should their desire to make money trump someone's safety?	Terrible. I need to know if anything that goes into my son's body contains things he's allergic to SO HE DOESN'T DIE. We have proper labelling on food, this needs to be law for medicines too.	Not Answered

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
This would be very useful. Some medications I have taken I have had an adverse reaction to but it must be excipients ingredients because I have been able to have them IV. It would be good to know what these ingredients are.	I am very sensitive to preservatives and colours so it would be very helpful to know what is in the medication.	The worst option for me.	Option A
As an allergy parent, I would want a full list of ALL ingredients to ensure my son's safety with a product.	Again, I would want a full list of ALL ingredients to ensure it is safe for my son with multiple allergies.	Definitely would like inactive ingredients included on the list. It's very frustrating, time consuming and anxiety provoking ensuring a substance is safe in allergies.	Option A
Sometime these are derived from soy or sunflower seed and people can be allergic. I feel All Should be declared, including where flavours or fragrances are derived from.	No this is not good enough. Again people may be allergic to these compounds, or have food chemical intolerances, and it is too much work to continuously have to phone pharma companies for complete ingredients lists. This idea is no better than current practice	This is not good enough! My son has a soy allergy and we have been caught out a number of times due to excipients not being declared!	Option A
			Option B
This option should cover all areas required for allergy, cultural and health reasons for an informed decision	This option is not strong enough, capsules for instant can have coatings that contain allergens etc	This option does not allow consumers to make an informed decision	Option A
I am allergic and or intolerant to a large number of substances such as fragrances preservatives, colours etc. Therefore ALL ingredients should	All ingredients should be listed!! !!!!	All ingredients should be listed!! !!!!	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
be listed. In GERMANY it is NORM to find a package insert in all medications, listing "side effects etc.". Where are the package inserts in Australia???? You are living on the dark side of the moon!!!! Thanks.			
Prefer this option, as either display all ingredients or keep as is with current requirements	People can still have allergies to food colorings etc, so feel either keep with the current requirements or be more comprehensive - not half way		Option A
This option is good but sometimes the list is very long and confusing for consumers. Perhaps it should be in simple English so people can understand. I'm more concerned about the ingredients that may cause allergic reaction like milk and nut protein as I have found one face cream that contains hydrolysed milk protein,	Sometimes ingredients in the capsules or cream bases are the one that can cause allergic reaction like fish products in capsule shells or soy protein in cream base.	People don't always know where to go and check for these information. And some have never heard of TGA	Option A
Our family luckily is not allergic to fragrances and flavours however for us all orange flavoured medicines use a gluten ingredient in the suspension which my son cannot have so we avoid as he has Coeliac Auto Immune Disease	Again this is not enough for a family with a child who has Coeliac Auto Immune Disease as it is not clear enough if the gluten protein is in the coatings,	I am constantly ringing or asking our pharmacist to ring companies which don't disclose on the packaging if gluten is present, but when spoken with the rep they say its not Coeliac safe eg. Nurofen, Advil, Dimetapp,	Option C

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
<My preference is to know all ingredients, including those that make up flavours and/or fragrances	No, i would want to know all these ingredients as well.	Not good enough for those with allergies	Option A
For me this sounds ok, I think..... as I mainly have to search for corn, but I think if you're going to improve lists, why not make them comprehensive.	This option I don't think is helpful for me, as I need to search out so many "food-based" ingredients or derivatives for my son's allergies. so many preservatives, fillers, shells, cream bases can be made from corn derivatives, or perhaps egg or dairy.... To be honest, I've read all the introductory info and am still a little confused by your survey questions and whether or not A or B is better!!!	Definitely not helpful for our family, as I'm constantly having to dig deeply to research and ring companies requesting non-active ingredients lists..... sometimes they're helpful, sometimes not!	Option A
This would be better than option B but some people may be sensitive to flavour and fragrance and wish to avoid those ingredients.			Option A
Why stop at flavour and fragrance.. this should also be included to allow informed decisions regarding life threatening allergies.			Option A
NO - ALL ingredients need to be listed.	NO - ALL ingredients need to be listed.	NO - ALL ingredients need to be listed.	Not Answered
All ingredients should be listed all of the time.	All ingredients should be listed all of the time.	All ingredients should be listed all of the time.	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
<p>I would like to see this information provided. This seems like information that consumers should be able to access so that they are fully informed.</p> <p>I recently contacted the manufacturers of Combantrin regarding their chocolate squares. their packaging states that the product may contain traces of nuts. My son is anaphylactic to peanuts, but not allergic to other nuts. There was no information regarding peanuts anywhere on their website, which seems like an oversight. I suggested that it would be useful for consumers to have more detailed allergen information on their packaging so that they can decide whether a product is suitable.</p> <p>I would like to see manufacturers be required to state which nuts there may be traces of in their therapeutic goods - this would be extremely helpful for my family.</p>	<p>I favour more information over less, so this option is less preferable than option A</p>	<p>I do not support this option.</p>	<p>Option A</p>

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
I think this should be normal practice as a minimum; however I believe that ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours should also be included. People can be allergic and/or sensitive to non-common allergens. In addition, an absence of explicit ingredients can cause stress for people with allergies.	I think excipient ingredients present in the medicine, PLUS ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours should be included in ingredient listings. People can be allergic and/or sensitive to non-common allergens. In addition, an absence of explicit ingredients can cause stress for people with allergies.	I don't think this is enough information for people with allergies and sensitivities.	Option A
	As long as any known allergens are listed as well as any artificial ingredients flavours and or colours.		Option B
As someone with a severe nut allergy i have gone into anaphylaxis multiple times due to fragrance and flavour elements to my medication and others around me. Please include the ingredients! You may save my life one day because of it. Literally.	As someone with a severe Sulphite and Nut allergy i have been caught out with capsules containing Sulfites without my knowledge which has results in diminished overall allergy tolerance and caused secondary reactions in required medications to date. Please include the ingredients! You may save my life one day because of it. Literally.	Currently i have already been unwittingly poisoned by unknown ingredients in medications prescribed to me. Please include the ingredients! You may save my life one day because of it. Literally.	Not Answered
This is the best of the three options. Allergy-sufferers are not limited to the most common allergens, and we have to become very good at reading	This is unacceptable to me as an allergy sufferer. I have a tick-induced mammalian meat allergy, which include Gelatin (porcine and bovine skin, bones and connecting tissue). Some medications	This is unacceptable to me as an allergy sufferer. Please see the specific comment sin Section B.	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
through the list of ingredients (whether on food or medicine products) to identify whether a particular item presents a personal danger or not.	have a gel-coating, such as Herron Gold Paracetamol ("Encapsulated compact core with easy to swallow smooth, gelatin coating"). Therefore, I need to inform myself as to whether any coating is dangerous to me. There are over 1,200 people in the Sydney area who have the tick-induced mammalian meat allergy, and this number is growing. Further, I have personal experience that medical staff in hospitals cannot be trusted to cross-check the medicine with the patient's declared allergy. The patient should therefore be able to read the ingredients on the packet, rather than search for it on Google as my wife did while I was being prepped for surgery.		
Essential. For someone with lots of allergies and chemical sensitivities this information is vital.	Total nonsense.	Not good enough.	Option A
This is the best of the 3 options, although I think that flavours and fragrances should also be included, as these can also affect sensitive people	I believe all ingredients should be shown. This does not go far enough	This information is not extensive enough, and those who react to medication ingredients should be able to have the information available so they can make decisions themselves, and not have to rely on others to provide it.	Option A
OK if the exempt ingredients are not known allergens. A list of known allergens commonly used as excipients	Proprietary information should not exempt the display of information that could help prevent allergic reactions.	Not a good idea if it is known that certain ingredients such as gelatin cause allergic reactions.	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
should be created by the TGA and kept up to date as new information comes to hand.			
As a Dietitian working with food intolerance and allergies- I am always ringing up to see if a food is safe not knowing what is in flavours is a real issue- some companies will help you out but most don't. My big bug bear!!! However this is the best option of the lot as I am also ringing about excipients in general- this can help decide whether a product is acceptable to use or not	Not happy with this one as need to know the preservatives- often ringing about this one.	Terrible idea- people do not know about excipients- they assume that it is similar to food- that all ingredients are listed	Option A
its often the fragrance that has a reaction for me so that is an issue	Colors are a big problem too	I think there needs to be more but option a and b are not totally covering either.	Option B
Flavourings can contain severe allergens such as gelatin or animal products which can cause severe allergic reactions in patients if undisclosed	Capsule shells are traditionally made of gelatin derived from animal products, and other artificial mixtures can be made from other common allergens such as milk, nuts, fish etc. These there fore need to be disclosed or at least contain an allergen warning	Currently, people with rare and even common allergies have to spend hours researching medications and calling providers to ensure that they are not allergic to their medication. Current standards must be improved	Option A
does not go far enough, it is the flavours / fragrances which are often the most dangerous	much better than option A, though I see no reason that proprietary ingredients should not be listed	insufficient information to allow for informed decisions	Option B

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
	albeit proportions used should not be published to protect intellectual property rights		
All ingredients should be listed. No exceptions. Not listing them (like soy) creates a risk to allergy sufferers.	All ingredients should be listed. No exceptions. Not listing them (like soy often used in capsule shell) creates a risk to allergy sufferers.	All ingredients should be listed. No exceptions. Not listing them (like soy) creates a risk to allergy sufferers.	Option A
There is a necessity for AlphaGal patients to have all ingredients and their sources identified in medicines. Therefore I am not happy with any of the options.			Not Answered
I think ALL ingredients present in the medicine should be displayed for public knowledge despite whether they are excipient or not. This should include the ingredients that are part of the flavour or fragrance ingredient mix, otherwise there is a gap in the information available to the consumer and puts anaphylactic consumers in serious danger.	I think ALL ingredients present in the medicine should be displayed for public knowledge despite whether they are excipient or not. This should include the ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix, otherwise there is a gap in the information available to the consumer and puts anaphylactic consumers in serious danger.	No we cannot continue with the current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the ARTG. I think ALL ingredients present in the medicine should be displayed for public knowledge despite whether they are excipient or not. All this information should be available to the consumer so that anaphylactic consumers are well informed before consuming any medication.	Not Answered
Ought to suit most consumers.	Some might want it.	Id be happy.	Option C
They must be included. Can it be guaranteed that ingredients that could be potentially anaphylactic are not included?	Any ingredient that could be potentially anaphylactic must be included.	Any ingredient that could be potentially anaphylactic must be included. We are well beyond what was an acceptable practice for many years.	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
Like this one better as you will see exactly the excipient ingredients which are more important than flavour or fragrances,	Dont like this one, should have cream bases etc on the display especially preservatives.	No need to change to better transparency on labels/display	Option A
Include everything	Include everything	No include everything	Option A
No, all ingredients and excipients must be displayed. Flavours and fragrances can have side effects too, and people need to know what they are ingesting.	No, all ingredients and excipients must be displayed. Having exceptions to this simply allows companies to flaunt any loopholes.	Not good enough either! ALL ingredients and excipients must be displayed! Where is option D) for this?	Option A
No. The flavour ingredients needs to be displayed also. See my option c answer.	No. All ingredients need to be included, please see my response to option c.	No. Our 4 year old son cannot consume anything that may even contain traces of tree nuts and egg, he is anaphylactic to these. Consider that kids' medicine is typically flavoured, and so we cannot for instance have vermo tablets for worms. It is really time consuming for pharmacists to try to access the ingredients currently, we've had many long waits while they try to find what we need to determine if something is safe, it needs to be easier for them and more accessible to the consumer also.	Not Answered
			Option B
Seems like a half measure. Allergens are increasing so should available information	Best option as it provides the most transparency	Seems to ignore a problem and an opportunity to close a known gap	Option B

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
I would prefer the flavour and/or fragrance ingredients also listed.	I would like all ingredients listed		Option A
I don't agree as some flavours and fragrances may contain allergens. I am allergic to kiwi fruit and if I absolutely will not buy, use or consume items if they just use "flavours" or "fragrances". While kiwi fruit isn't a super common allergy, it does have a significant amount of cross-reactivity to latex, which is an exceptionally common allergen.	While allergies to colours and preservatives aren't as common, they still occur often enough to make not labelling or disclosing them dangerous. While I understand the companies' desire to keep these proprietary ingredient mixes out of the public eye, some people have severe enough allergies that they need to know if any of the allergens is present.	If the possibility of adding a list of excipients exists, this option is not useful. For most people, it's more than enough but navigating medicines can be difficult enough with allergies to common things. While your doctors will pick up the more common problems (like not prescribing penicillin to someone who is allergic), having more opportunities for less common allergens (like nuts and lactose) to be identified (by nurses and pharmacists etc...) is incredibly reassuring.	Option A
No	This would be preferable.	No!	Option B
If this is the option that will CLEARLY display whether a coeliac patient is able to safely have a medication, then this one is my choice.			Option A
			Option A
			Option A
This is the least worst of the options that you are considering, but by no means is it acceptable. Rather than this option, all the ingredients should be	This option is unacceptable. Rather than this option, all the ingredients should be disclosed on the label, and online suppliers should be required to disclose this information on their web site. This	Want to keep living in some anti-consumer dystopia? Adopt this option!	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
<p>disclosed on the label, and online suppliers should be required to disclose this information on their web site. This means that consumers have the information that they need at the point of sale.</p> <p>This is what happens overseas, and it is why I buy almost all the supplements that I use from overseas sources. The Australian approach of only telling me what isn't in a product is completely out of step with international best practice. Don't believe me: have a quick look at iHerb.</p> <p>But ultimately it's up to you. I've given up on the Australian industry, so I really don't care what you do.</p>	<p>means that consumers have the information that they need at the point of sale.</p> <p>This is what happens overseas, and it is why I buy almost all the supplements that I use from overseas sources. The Australian approach of only telling me what isn't in a product is completely out of step with international best practice. Don't believe me: have a quick look at iHerb.</p> <p>But ultimately it's up to you. I've given up on the Australian industry, so I really don't care what you do.</p>		
All ingredients should be displayed	All ingredients should be displayed.	There needs to be a change to the current labelling	Option A
Flavour and fragrance ingredients need to be included. Many highly sensitive people need information about all contents.	All ingredients need to be included. Many highly sensitive people need information about all contents. My son for instance will have diarrhoea for at least a week if he consumes red food colouring. This is not uncommon but makes it very	Not enough information.	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
	difficult to trace down why he is unable to tolerate a medication if the food colouring is not on the ingredient list. Any improvements in labelling would be welcomed, but options A & B are not entirely sufficient.		
Definitely help to inform patients more thoroughly so I choice can be made to consume it or not	It helps to protect patent holders but unfortunately some of those ingredients can still cause allergies and the patient needs to know	It's not enough for those who have allergies to less common ingredients.	Option A
I think all should be listed including flavour and fragrance	Everything should be listed including those you are thinking of leaving out	This is suitable for some but not those who are not given access or have multiple a allergies	Option C
All ingredients need to be displayed using their common name in order for people to check if the drug is 100% free from allergens.			Option A
All ingredients need to be disclosed on a leaflet dispensed with the medicine in order to know exactly what substance (s) will be ingested. Eliminating the risk of an anaphylactic reaction and death.	All ingredients need to be disclosed on a leaflet dispensed with the medicine in order to know exactly what substance (s) will be ingested. Eliminating the risk of an anaphylactic reaction and death.	All ingredients need to be disclosed on a leaflet dispensed with the medicine in order to know exactly what substance (s) will be ingested. Eliminating the risk of an anaphylactic reaction and death.	Option C
I don't like this ruling for foods or cosmetics, it would be great to know what constituents are in the flavour/fragrance as often allergens can be within these & make it hard to make an informed choice of is benefit	To many kids are sensitive to colours, to have these listed would be good. Cream bases can have so many ingredients, to just label Incas cream base again leaves to many questions of what is in it.	No, it would be disappointing for this option to continuey to be the regulation, making these more open & information easier to access is a good thing	Option B

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
of the medicine greater than the risk. The displaying of excitement ingredients is still an improvement though, it could just be even better			
As a parent of a child with multiple allergies, including to certain flavours, this isn't enough. The excipients being listed would be a huge help, and I understand the drug companies want to keep their flavours confidential, but it doesn't help people with allergies... maybe they could declare any allergens in the flavours as a general list without giving away their secret?	As above answer this isn't enough to make an informed choice of safe medication for my son. By not declaring all of these things it can make it extremely difficult for a person with allergies (ie cream bases could include almond oil) , colours can cause allergies for some people	Not enough information	Option A
Being dairy intolerant I would like medicine to its dairy free. Currently lactose free shows but that isn't helpful to dairy free. I have been prescribed medicine which has made me sicker due to dairy ingredient	Being dairy intolerant I would like medicine to its dairy free. Currently lactose free shows but that isn't helpful to dairy free. I have been prescribed medicine which has made me sicker due to dairy ingredient	Being dairy intolerant I would like medicine to its dairy free. Currently lactose free shows but that isn't helpful to dairy free. I have been prescribed medicine which has made me sicker due to dairy ingredient	Option A
This is needed, including the particular ingredients. I have a wheat allergy, most medicines state they contain gluten. I can have gluten as the wheat protein is my issue, so if it had rye or spelt etc I could take that medicine.	Again, this would be a helpful option. They only request I would have is that the pharmacist would have easy access to this information, as I know some can be allergic to some colours and flavourings.	This is not suitable at all.	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
While this may be better than it currently is, this is still not ideal as even flavours and fragrances can cause a reaction for people like me who have numerous sensitivities and allergies. This means I ultimately can't choose to avoid medicines that might make me sick as I won't know what is included.	While this may be better than it currently is, this is still not ideal as even flavours and fragrances can cause a reaction for people like me who have numerous sensitivities and allergies. This means I ultimately can't choose to avoid medicines that might make me sick as I won't know what is included. Especially as I am allergic to many colours and preservatives.	This is terrible and ridiculous. The current system is irresponsible as it's hit and miss whether I will react to medicines because I can't see in advance what is in it so it's anyone's guess if I will get sick simply from taking medication.	Option A
	All ingredients should be listed or at least info that the chemist has so they can answer the questions.		Option B
yes please, it is so important to be able to see all the detail. Must include where there are nut or dairy products in their flavour or fragrance.	Not sure that this would be enough information...?	This option is not helpful for us in making decisions on the right product for our daughter with anaphylaxis.	Option A
Anaphylactic reactions to flavours & fragrances can occur so NO, this option is not safe. Consumer unable to make informed safe choice.	B is the better option but does NOT go far enough. All ingredients, including every single proprietary ingredient within a mix e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours MUST be declared in order for consumers with allergies to make safe informed choices.	The worst of the 3 options. This puts the patient at risk of anaphylaxis to unknown ingredients. Pharmacists aren't even able to find this information to help the consumer make an informed safe choice.	Option B
NOT SAFE FOR ANAPHYLACTIC PEOPLE - Display all ingredients and specifically those identified as allergic that MUST be declared in food to help anaphylactic people decide if they can	NOT SAFE FOR ANAPHYLACTIC PEOPLE - Display all ingredients and specifically those identified as allergic that MUST be declared in food to help anaphylactic people decide if they can safely consume medicine and not risk dying. I do not	NOT SAFE FOR ANAPHYLACTIC PEOPLE - Display all ingredients and specifically those identified as allergic that MUST be declared in food to help anaphylactic people decide if they can safely consume medicine and not risk dying. I do not	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
safely consume medicine and not risk dying. I do not support any option except the option that ALL ingredients MUST be listed!!!	support any option except the option that ALL ingredients MUST be listed!!!	support any option except the option that ALL ingredients MUST be listed!!!	
I believe that all ingredients including flavour and fragrance should be included. My son has intolerances to a lot of flavours and this information would be helpful to weigh up the pros and cons - because right now as we don't get told these things is automatically not to have.	No all this should be readily available information. I myself am allergic to neurofen but not Advil and because of the information not being available of all ingredients my immunologist has just written it off to the coating. It would be nice to actually be able to have clearer information	This is just pure laziness and arrogance. The public should know exactly what is in their medication active or otherwise. Thinking that most or majority of people don't care is just outright rude.	Option A
This would be most helpful to my family as we have a number of foods we need to avoid and allergies (gluten, eggs, rice, oats and soon to be dairy and possibly wheat), and I would like the excipient ingredients displayed to be able to know medicines are "safe". The flavour and fragrance mixes are not relevant to my family.	This option is not helpful to multiple allergy families.	This option is not helpful and I currently feel anxious when new medications are used in my family, given the excipient ingredients are not displayed.	Option A
			Option B
			Option A
People have sensitivities/allergies to a wide variety of ingredients and are	All ingredients should be listed on the ARTG and available to consumers so that they can make an	No, consumers cannot make an informed choice about the medicines they take if only part of the	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
careful of what they take. By keeping the ingredients of a flavour/fragrance ingredient mix 'secret' a person may inadvertently take a medicine which contains an ingredient to which they are sensitive/allergic. The declarable excipients list in the label orders does not cover every possible allergy or sensitivity.	informed choice as to the medicine they are taking. As stated above, people can be sensitive/allergic to a wide range of ingredients and by keeping proprietary ingredient mixes 'confidential' means that consumers cannot make an informed choice and these mixes may contain an ingredient to which the consumer is sensitive/allergic but they would not know because the information has been kept 'secret'.	information is available. With the increase in sensitivity/allergic reactions to ingredients/substances it is not possible to include all possible allergens on the label. Consumers need to have an easy avenue with which to check the complete ingredient list of a medicine they are considering taking.	
Unfortunately for me with my allergy I'm normally allergic to what's in the flavours. I have Alpha Gal Allergy which in short means I am allergic to anything deriving from a mammal.	I need these ingredients exposed as I am allergic to a lot of casings again due to my allergy. A lot of casings have fats or gelatins. My allergy is derived from a tick bite and is very hard to get information for medicine ingredients. I get anaphylaxis as do most others with this allergy. galactose-alpha-1,3-galactose	Definitely No	Option A
All ingredients should be displayed without exception. All	All ingredients should be displayed without exception. It is acceptable if it mentions ingredients for coating or capsules etc in the second paragraph.	Current situation should be improved.	Option B
TGA should provide the maximum possible information in ingredients in medicine, whether active or inactive (excipient). People's reactions to medicines vary widely. Without full	See above	See above	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
disclosure of information, it's much harder for anyone to ascertain what ingredients might be causing an adverse reaction.			
Agree, as many people due to age, technical capabilities, etc. cannot easily or at all access TGA website. TGA website is very busy and sometimes confusing. With excipient information on the label of actual product, consumers have direct and immediate information. The same type of Ingredient labelling as food would be best as this is familiar to many consumers.	Disagree, all ingredients including proprietary ingredients have generic names or descriptions. Cosmetics are supposed to declare all ingredients, no matter how technical they may appear to many consumers, so should all medicines, especially colours, preservatives, etc. If an ingredient contains a potential allergenic material then at least some warning statement should be mandatory.	Disagree. ARTG is not easily accessible to general public and can be difficult to understand quickly for consumers without technical expertise. There is too much indirect information on ARTG for this particular purpose. However there should be a place on the TGA website where excipient information is accessible to all who require this data. TGA has all the excipient information and it should be accessible.	Option A
This is my preferred option as it would disclose most ingredients to the consumer. Especially to those consumers that have allergies it is vital to understand all ingredients in the product and not Just active ingredients	All ingredients should be listed on the label. This is not my preferred option.	Merely declaring active ingredients does not help people with food allergies who may still be at risk of reacting to another ingredient in the medicine that is not declared on label	Option A
Both cosmetic and food products have for a long time required ingredients to be listed on packaging. This has occurred despite initial concerns about	Disagree with this option. Unique IP can be protected by Patents. Companies who want to analyze other ingredients or components of medicines can do so now through analytical	This option is the least appealing. Option A is an evolutionary step that is more in line with community expectations, that the TGA be at the	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
<p>the theft of unique IP relating to formulations. Companies with truly unique IP are still able to patent ingredients to protect their intellectual property.</p> <p>The major reason for listing ingredients is to protect people who have known allergies or sensitivities to particular ingredients. In the EU with cosmetic ingredients this also includes known allergens in the fragrance.</p> <p>So I am in favor of declaring all excipients in addition to the active ingredients, as well for products that contain fragrances I'd suggest that the fragrances allergens also be declared.</p>	<p>techniques.</p> <p>Declaration of ingredients is done so to protect consumers.</p>	<p>forefront of products that are safe for consumers or have improved safety aspects to them.</p>	
<p>This is completely acceptable and a move I fully encourage. This will provide more clarity to the consumer in terms of what they are ingesting and help them to make more informed choices when it comes to medicines.</p>	<p>This is also acceptable as it does not expose the proprietary blends used by certain manufacturers which give them a point of difference from their competitors. It provides a decent level of clarity, but I do admit more detail would be preferred.</p>	<p>No, this is not acceptable. At a time where consumers have become more educated and are insisting for greater transparency in product ingredient listings we should do much more than what this option provides.</p>	<p>Option A</p>
	<p>I feel the more information we have at our disposal, the better, to enable us to make informed and at times, vital decisions on what we</p>		<p>Option B</p>

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
	can or should avoid, this applies to foods or medicines.		
I think the more information the better, especially for those with uncommon allergies and intolerances. It is sometimes very hard to get this information, even from the company.	I don't like this option as I know people who have uncommon allergies and intolerances, and it is very hard to find out what is in products other than foods.	Current requirements are not satisfactory, especially for someone with uncommon allergies and intolerances.	Option A
I agree, as many ingredients as possible should be displayed.	Shell formulations, creams, preservatives, should ALL be noted.	Improve Option C by going with Option A.	Option A
This is a big step forward. Much more transparency than currently, but labelling still needs to adequately highlight (suggest in a 'contains' statement) common allergens.	This is not transparent enough.	Not transparent enough.	Option A
As flavour and fragrance ingredients are already supplied to manufacturers with defined listings of allergens and their usage level, your proposal is ill-informed and likely to encourage fearmongering to no purpose. It is clear TGA has no adequate knowledge about these matters to regulate them sensibly. The responsibility for listing should lie with the manufacturer who controls the ingredient usage.		I am not aware of any evidence that changing this would produce any results at all.	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
Reckless breach of commercial-in-confidence by TGA will damage the professional reputation of the ingredient supplier, and lead to withdrawal of its products from the market.			
			Option A
Suitable option.	No. Esp with increasing mammalian meat allergy (gelatin capsules can be a risk).	Not suitable. My daughter was allergic (FPIES) to rice. This, and corn are not in top 8 allergens and wouldn't be disclosed under current requirements. It made choosing safe medicine for us, very fraught with worry.	Option A
I don't care about inactive fillers. I only want to know about flavours and smells, like in the Netflix doco about it. Called STINK. And in foods and in clothes and in anything else.	Yes, colours. Flavours, smells and colours. Lots of people in Australia have to be very careful about colours. I don't care about the other stuff.	I don't know.	Option C
What medical training would be available to persons accessing this information regarding their own medications? A little knowledge can be a dangerous thing when the full ramifications are not known	Again this information would be very confusing to anyone without the education to properly assess ingredients.	Current information is more than enough.	Option C

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
I would find it very useful to be able to know all of the ingredients. Capsules can be made of things that I may be allergic to eg corn or gelatine.	this option may mean that I can't find out at all if I would react to something. The only way that I think that this option would be ok would be if the capsule shells were not allowed to be made out of ingredients that some people react to eg gelatine, corn	it really is very difficult to get information currently. I found out that vitamin d had lanolin in it and that this was present in many multivitamin mixes. I do not know which multivitamins are safe for me to take still.	Option A
All medicinal products should explain what all the excipient ingredients are including flavours so that consumers have a choice about whether to purchase or not. Flavours could include artificial sweeteners. Provided "Fragrance" is noted on the label people can choose not to buy if they react to fragrance	No all these excipient ingredients should be listed. It's possible that someone having a "reaction" to a medicine may in fact be reacting to one of these excipient ingredients yet may be told to avoid taking the medicine for the active ingredient	We can do better than the status quo - with so many people having reactions and intolerances it's better to have all the excipient ingredients listed	Option A
No I want full disclosure Some people have very specific allergies in this hyper immune alert population so we need all the ingredients listed please	No I want all the ingredients listed including capsule information cream bases etc	Some ingredients although thought to be inactive have caused allergic reactions so we need to know everything Why hide it?	Option A
Yes! More transparency needed especially the gummy bears marketed as a vitamins when they should be placed in the lolly section of the supermarket marketed as sugar!			Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
Best option, however flavour and fragrance ingredients are often the most reactive so should also be declared!	Not sufficient! All ingredients should be listed!!	Inappropriate level of information to consumers- not acceptable!	Option A
Yes displaying all ingredients is helpful as my child has intolerances and it can be confusing and worrying when purchasing medicines or supplements. My other daughter gets eczema from other topical creams and dairy and some preservatives or natural colourings or fragrances so it is good to know what is in it that causes these reactions so we can avoid it.	No, all ingredients need to be listed incase if allergies or intolerances!	No all ingredients need to be listed. If the child has a reaction to ingredients then it is helpful to learn what is in it so it can be avoided in the future.	Option A
As a mother of small children, I believe this information should be transparent. I am vigilant in checking food ingredients (my children have food allergies) and should be afforded the right to do so with medicines as well (anything to be ingested).			Option A
I like this option as it is much better than what is currently provided. My children have various allergies and sensitivities and we have always	Preservatives, colours and additives can have big impacts on people especially children. I think this option doesn't go far enough.	Not okay. More transparency is definitely needed.	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
struggled to get answers even from the pharmacists.			
I don't like this option, my children have reactions to a lot of additives and not knowing what is in a product stops me from buying it.	I don't like this option, my children have reactions to a lot of additives and not knowing what is in a product stops me from buying it.	I don't like this option, my children have reactions to a lot of additives and not knowing what is in a product stops me from buying it.	Option A
As a parent this would help allow me to make an informed decision on the medicines I give to my family. I would prefer even greater transparency but understand this is still a great step forward. I would be more inclined to use western medicine due to this.	This does not provide enough transparency and makes me question what the industry is hiding. Automatically I assume they are hiding something negative and it makes me want to avoid western medicine.	Because of this I automatically believe the industry is hiding something negative. It doesn't allow me to make an informed decision for my family. I avoid western medicine because of this. This could easily be to my detriment but as there is no transparency I'm given no option but to avoid where possible.	Option A
This would be an improvement but still not enough. We deserve to know what we are putting in our bodies.	We deserve to know everything that's in our medicines!	Absolutely not. This should be illegal. Where are the consumers rights?! This is deceptive and flat out wrong.	Option A
it is essential that all ingredients - active or inactive are listed and also if the medicines d=contain any GMO ingredients. fragrance and flavor ingredients often are allergenic too so that should also be listed in full			Option A
I personally think all ingredients should be shown. I'm allergic to many fragrances.	All ingredients should be shown as we are consuming the capsule shell too, plus any thing mixed in a cream will also go into our bodies, our skin is our biggest organ.	We need everything listed that's in a medicine.	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
I think it's important to disclose everything but this is a step forward	same as above	Prefer above options which have more disclosures	Option A
Better (not best) option for consumers to be able to make informed decisions about what excipients ingredients they wish to ingest, especially given the increased recognition of side effects of these additives. More transparency is absolutely required.	Not enough information provided.	Definitely not enough information for consumers	Option A
This option appeals to me as it offers the most information about the ingredients and additives in medicines. I check to see what is in the food I eat and it would be great to know what is in medicines, too. I would like to know what is in flavours and fragrances, too.			Option A
As many ingredients as possible should be displayed! I think flavour should also be displayed as my children react to some things but at least this is a step in the right direction.	We need as much information as possible.	No, we need to know what is in what we consume.	Option A
I want the most information possible			Option A
Better than current but I want to be able to access all information if I desire.	Better than current but I want to be able to access all information if I desire.	Not good enough. We (consumers) should be able to access all information of	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
		ingredients/flavours/mixes/colours etc. used in medicines.	
All ingredients as often people are sensitive to ingredients in flavouring etc	Colours and preservatives need to be listed.	No, more information is required. I like to know what my child is investing and as a mum of a child with cystic fibrosis, he has a lot of medications.	Option A
I would like to have access to all ingredients in all products. We the consumer are putting these products into our own body so I believe we have the right to know what they are made from. Every ingredient down to the smallest one.	I believe we have the right to know what products we consume are made from. Every ingredient down to the smallest one, including preservatives and colours. These ingredients do cause additional health problems in some people so we should have the knowledge on what is in them. By making the choice of what we consume we are trying to stay as healthy as possible therefore in turn we are being less of a burden on the health system. Please help so do this by giving us every ingredient.	This option is not giving the consumer the choice. We should have the choice of what we put into our own body. After all it is our health. Company's should not be allowed to hide ingredients.	Option A
This is preferred. As much information as possible should be available considering people can have reactions to additives and preservatives, whether natural or not. This is extremely important to know for those with allergies and/or food intolerance and chemical sensitivity.	Unsatisfactory. Doesn't go far enough as explained under option A.	Unsatisfactory for reasons outlined under option A.	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
I would like All ingredients to not only be available online but to be noted on the packaging itself.	I would like All ingredients to not only be available online but to be noted on the packaging itself.	I would like All ingredients to not only be available online but to be noted on the packaging itself. Option C is least desirable.	Option A
I think this option should go even further and declare ingredients that are part of the flavour mix too. Having two children who are highly intolerant to colourings and preservatives, it is a nightmare trying to find medicines which don't in fact make them feel worse.			Option A
I think full exposure is best but this is better than the other options. It will encourage use of the most natural and non allergenic ingredients as possible.	Still gives makers the chance to hide ingredients which people can react to.	Not good enough for transparency and making informed choices.	Option A
			Option A
Definitely display all ingredients including excipients so that we know exactly what is in a product.	Does not give full information.	Also does not give full details of all ingredients.	Option A
EVERY THING that is made into the medicine SHOULD BE DECLARED!!! Whilst companies may think their product is safe, some babies/toddlers/kids react to certain ingredients so when they are not listed			Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
it makes it difficult to know it is safe for their child to have.			
Great, but would still like all the facts - ingredients.			Option A
Insufficient. There are certain flavour ingredients that affect my child's behaviour. I'd like to know every ingredient.	I'd like to know every ingredient. My child is affected by certain ingredients and I'd like to know what is in everything my child consumes.	Completely unacceptable. It should show all ingredients.	Option A
Not good enough but best option	Not good enough	No inadequate	Option A
A huge step forward. We deserve to know all of the ingredients we are putting into our bodies	Not good enough	Not good enough	Option A
			Option A
Would love to see this done. In food as well. No one should be able to hide behind 'natural flavour'	Do not agree. Full discrepancy should be required	No, I currently have no idea what's in medicines and when needed to be taken they flare my child's eczema awfully.	Option A
This should definitely happen. Consumers deserve to know what ingredients are in their medicines. I have a child who has a history of strong reactions to artificial chemicals, flavourings, emulsifiers etc & this has been an issue with medications also. With ALL ingredients clearly noted, we could avoid those things that she			Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
reacts to by choosing a different medication. It should not even be an option NOT to inform consumers of what is in them.			
The ingredients of the flavour and fragrance are vital as people are often sensitive to these ingredients.	The ingredients of the flavour and fragrance are vital as people are often sensitive to these ingredients.	No, do not agree.	Option B
This option is better than C, but why not tell us all the ingredients. Flavour, fragrances can contain ingredients detrimental to us if there is an allergy and we need to know what is in everything.	Same as my opinion of Option A. Why not just tell us everything in a medicine if you are going to the trouble of telling us some information but not all.	Not an option, we need to improve our knowledge not support the status quo.	Option A
Not perfect but a good step forward.	Need more transparency than this.	Not good enough.	Option A
My kids are sensitive to food additives and colours. I need to know what is in anything I give them. I need to know!			Option A
I believe all ingredients should be listed, consumers need to know exactly what ingredients they are ingesting - including all colours, flavours etc no matter how minute the quantity	This does not go far enough	This is not good enough anymore, you need to be honest and transparent with consumers	Option A
Flavour and fragrance should be listed as many adverse reactions occur due to these additives.	It would be great to have a link to what is in the proprietary ingredient if it cannot be listed. Preservatives are a big issue in our household and	This needs to change. These hidden ingredients are causing so many adverse reactions in children	Option B

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
	it's often hard to determine whether a medicine contains preservatives	that could easily have been avoided if clearer information was available	
All Excipients should be shown, it can be the undeclared ingredients that can cause side effects that are negatively attributed to the active ingredients			Option A
Insufficient. We have the right to know ALL the ingredients in medicines	Insufficient. We have the right to know ALL the ingredients in medicines	Insufficient. We have the right to know ALL the ingredients in medicines	Option A
flavour and fragrances need to be displayed	not transparent enough vegans would like to know if capsule of vegetarian /vegan nature base creams need to know for allergy purposes	not transparent enough	Option B
You are asking entirely the wrong questions in this survey. Have you asked the many people who end up in hospital each year for mistakes in taking medications, what went wrong? This would be a more intelligent way of improving medication supply. Why do some countries with apparently a more consumer based medication system insist that the name the doctor writes on the script is the same as that on the medication dispensed by the chemist to avoid confusion, while Australia insists on a	As above	As above	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
dual labelling system? This is very confusing for older people who may be taking about 10 medications. What you are suggesting here is even more confusing, particularly for those with limited eyesight.			
I think all ingredients should be displayed, including ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance mix. These ingredients can still have reactivity in certain people and therefore full transparency for ALL ingredients should be displayed.	I think all ingredients should be displayed, including those that are proprietary ingredients.	I support the use of displaying all excipient ingredients, and all non-active ingredients, for both consumers and health care practitioners alike to find and use this information for better health outcomes.	Option A
I would like to see ALL ingredients listed on the label. This is long overdue and suggests to the consumer (and to the practitioner such as myself, who is not even able to readily obtain excipients from companies I have practitioner accounts with such as Bioceuticals and Metagenics!) that there is a lack of transparency, which further implies a need to hide... In USA, ALL active ingredients, excipients and encapsulating agents have always been listed and the fact that Australian			Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
products do not, gives us the appearance of being very behind. I am also concerned about the lax labelling of foods in Australia. Nutritional Panel information is obtained from often bizarre sources (Australian Certified Organic Almonds with several companies I contacted in 2019 can differed greatly from company to company in their carb/fat/protein content, for example. I discovered some companies even acquire their information from American websites!!) There seems to be a kind of plagiarism in labelling, when it would make sense to me (and be legal!) to have testing of YOUR food product performed by a reputable testing company in Australia before submitting any labelling!			
Please include all additives, colours, flavours on the label	Please include all additives, colours, flavours on the label	Please include all additives, colours, flavours on the label.	Option A
			Option B
This will be an improvement but for very sensitive people flavours and fragrances can also be an issue. Why	Many people are now sensitive to the ingredients in propriety mixes including those you have listed here. Although ingredients such as rice, corn and	More information excipients is definitely needed.	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
<p>can't everything be listed? At minimum there should also be a mandatory statement of whether the flavour and/or fragrance is natural or artificial.</p>	<p>potato are not amongst the most common allergens many people react. These ingredients also need to be listed.</p>		
<p>My ideal option would be neither, A, B or C, as I personally believe in complete transparency of ALL excipients. However, if I must choose. Option A is definitely it! I have worked in pharmacy and noted how many customers react to medicines that seem identical (based on their active ingredients). However, the experience of each medicine is clearly different for the customer. Some customers are simply not able to swallow certain brands. Others have reacted to something within the formula, unbeknown to them, the pharmacy staff and the health professional involved. With allergic reactions on the rise, I believe it is a serious issue. It is in the best interest of the public to display excipients. Particularly excipients used to make cream bases, capsule shell formulations,</p>	<p>I do not like Option B as much as Option A. I have worked in pharmacy and noted how many customers react to medicines that seem identical (based on their active ingredients) however, the reaction for the customer is entirely different. Some customers are simply not able to swallow certain brands. Others have reacted to something within the formula, experiencing discomfort in some form. With allergic reactions on the rise, I believe it is a serious issue. It is in the benefit of the public to display what cream bases, capsule shell formulations, preservative mixes, coating solutions and colours are being used to formulate a particular medicine. Companies will gain support of the customers who purchase their products. What does a company have to hide? I believe transparency is a must. The health of the public deserve it!</p>	<p>While this is helpful. Allergies and intolerances are on the rise. I personally believe it is in the best interest of the public to display all ingredients used, particularly excipients contained within all products. I have worked in pharmacy, and noted that a number of customers react to one medication but not another medication, both medicines contain identical active ingredients.</p>	<p>Option A</p>

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
preservative mixes, coating solutions and colours. I personally believe that knowing the flavour and fragrances is not as important as knowing the excipients listed above (Option B). If a company is willing to disclose the majority of excipients used to make their medicine(s), with the exception of fragrances and flavours, that company will gain more support from it's customers. Transparency is something I value greatly. I have contacted medicinal companies on several occasions to seek the excipient listing. I have several allergies and intolerances. It is paramount that I know exactly what I am allowing into my body. The consequences are simply not worth it!			
I think all ingredients, including excipients, flavouring and fragrances should be listed on the product's bottle and on websites which have those products. 1/3 of the Australian population has fragrance sensitivities. Listing fragrance ingredients would help these people	I believe all ingredients, including proprietary ingredient mixes should be listed to decrease chances of adverse reactions. Trademarks and other intellectual property registrations should be enough to protect the rights of the company.	With the rise of allergies and sensitivities, this is insufficient disclosure and does not protect the general public sufficiently.	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
narrow down the problematic chemical.			
Definitely! Declare all recipients. I have had allergic reactions to excipients before such as flavorings that were not declared on the label. It's such a pain to find information about excipients as manufacturers like bioceuticals don't publish it!			Option A
The more we can be transparent about what is in a product the better. Not sure why flavour or fragrance is excluded.	There seem to be a lot of exclusions for this option. I think we need to be aware of what each part of the medicine contains eg capsule shell. It still matters as we are taking the capsule as a whole so should be treated as such for the ingredients.	There is a growing need for change. It is important to list all ingredients so we have an informed choice when consuming the product.	Option A
I do not support option A As a natural health practitioner it's important to have ALL information available to me & to the general public.	I support option B As a natural health practitioner it's important to have ALL information available to me & to the general public. We have a right to know every single thing that is in the tablet or supplement that we are recommending our clients to ingest, & likewise, they have that same right.	I do not support option C As a natural health practitioner it's important to have ALL information available to me & to the general public.	Option B
I think it doesn't go far enough for people with very specific allergies and migraine or seizure triggers, some of which are fragrances.	I think it does not go far enough and people deserve to know exactly what they are putting into their bodies.	It is inadequate and many people with allergies and specific triggers to seizures and migraines need more information.	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
ALL excipient ingredients present should be displayed. For sufferers of mammalian meat allergy, flavour and/or fragrance mixes may contain mammalian meat products and therefore be dangerous.	Not enough to protect mammalian meat allergy sufferers. The types of ingredients listed may contain, for example, gelatin from mammals.	Inadequate for mammalian meat allergy sufferers.	Option A
Better option than A as more transparent	too many exceptions	Not helpful	Option A
All excipients, incl flavour and fragrances should be displayed	All excipients should be displayed	Transparency is needed. People need to know exactly what is in the medicines they are taking active and inactive.	Option A
	I think this would be adequate as long as we know it contains cream base etc and then this proprietary mix could be looked up if needed		Option B
This is my preferred option as I have children who have adverse reactions to additives in food and medicines. Having access to this level of information would definitely help me make informed choices about medicines and also help educate health professionals too who currently in my experience have little knowledge of how to navigate this type of situation when a patient needs medicine for its	Although this is better than present situation it doesn't go far enough as some of the key problematic ingredients such as colours and preservatives would still be a mystery and it is those very things that I need to know about for my children and their adverse reactions.	This is not really an option in my opinion. I think medicine as they are something that is going in or at least on our bodies and those of more vulnerable people such as children and frail aged it is vitally important to have the same standard as food and cosmetics at the minimum.	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
active ingredients but reacts to the other inactive ones.			
Whilst it isn't perfect...it will bring it back up to the standard that we have for food at the moment. This is a HUGE step forward and allows consumers to be able make a more informed decision. However, like foods not having flavours disclosed prevents consumers from making an informed decision. I have experience with my family where it was the flavours causing the issue so couldn't avoid the problems which is not the situation you want to be in. So my preferred preference is to have all ingredients disclosed so us consumers can make a fully informed decision.	Totally against this option as prevents consumers from making an informed decision. My preferred preference is to have all ingredients disclosed so us consumers can make a fully informed decision.	Totally against this option as prevents consumers from making an informed decision. My preferred preference is to have all ingredients disclosed so us consumers can make a fully informed decision.	Option A
Yes please! My 7 year old daughter is sensitive to preservatives and artificial colours and I'd love more information about ingredients!			Option A
ALL ingredients should be made easily available to all individuals to allow for informed decisions and consent. These should also be made available			Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
and promoted to Healthcare professionals regularly.			
The more information that is available on exactly What we are ingesting is ideal in my view. Our could be the difference between picking 1 brand over an other I'd active ingredients are the same.	This is better than current situation but would leave many ingredients and additives off. I'd not be happy with this.	Not satisfactory.	Option A
Yes. And let's work towards making flavour more transparent as well	This isn't telling all the ingredients though.	No- why is anything hidden? Shouldn't we be allowed to know what we are choosing?	Option A
It is better than the current situation, but personally I think that hiding flavour and fragrance ingredients is unreasonable and unethical in this day and age. I believe that ALL ingredients should be available to view.	There are too many loopholes in this and won't improve knowledge and safety.	As a practitioner of nutritional medicine, I am continually frustrated that I have to call companies to find out exactly what I am giving my patients. I treat many sensitive patients who can't tolerate common excipients. Some companies only give scanty information even when you call - for others, it's at best a long wait on the phone. The current requirements make my life and the lives of my patients more difficult and more dangerous. Please don't choose option C.	Option A
This is perfect but why have flavour and fragrance as an exception ???	Waste of time as we need to know what is in these products	We need change..... people need to know what they are taking! And government needs to make this happen	Option A
Seems Insufficient. Everything should be available to consumers in order to make informed choices & informed	This seems insufficient. Everything should be available to consumers in order to make informed choices & informed consent.	Absolutely not -Everything should be available to consumers in order to make informed choices & informed consent.	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix		Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
consent. Is this option the highest level of disclosure that TGA is proposing? If so it is the only credible option.	I am in favour of the option that discloses the most amount of information which from the way it is all stated seems to be Option A.			
Flavour and fragrance ingredients should also be listed.				Option A
Not transparent enough, need details of flavours & fragrances	Again not good enough, these areas are where additives are present that patents need to be aware of to support their child's health		Need way more transparency, none of these options are good enough	Option C
				Option A
Very important	Why would this information be kept private? These ingredients can be harmful to many people		Terrible option. We should be able to see what ingredients are involved, especially for children, so we can make informed decisions	Option A
We deserve to make informed choices about what we consume and put in our body.				Option A
Do not agree. Full disclosure about all ingredients	Do not agree. Full disclosure about all ingredients		Do not agree. Full disclosure about all ingredients	Option A
All ingredients need to be including in the list of ingredients. Many people are allergic to flavours, colours, etc. We need full disclose on ALL ingredients. Too many hidden toxins	Colours, preservatives, capsule shells, coating solutions negatively affect people and they should be included in the list of ingredients.		This doesn't list enough. People are negatively affected by hidden ingredients.	Option A
	This option is good as long as the proprietary ingredient mix does not contain common			Option B

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
	allergens or other ingredients that can cause issues with some people who have other conditions e.g. phenylketonuria. There may be other conditions of which I am not familiar. Fragrances & colours can cause issues for some people as well.		
This gives consumers the most choice and is my preference. As someone with gluten anaphylaxis and one of the lucky few who will react to wheat derived products, like glucose and dextrin, information is key	see above, the less information the worse things get	as per my first point, less information is unacceptable	Option A
Much better. Still not enough information! I have kids sensitive to a variety of products including flavours,natural colours and preservatives. I need to know what is in medicstion so I I can make a safe informed choice.	Again. This is not providing consumer with enough information to make informed decisions. I have kids sensitive to a variety of products including flavours,natural colours and preservatives. I myself have sensitive skin and food sensitivities. I can end up with sore unsightly rashes over my face and upset digestion. I need to know what is in medication or skin product so I I can make a safe informed choice.	Worst option of the 3! Definetly not enough information! Current legislation is not good enough!	Option A
Definitely do this. It will be really helpful to people with food intolerances. If food manufacturers	Definitely don't do this! Option B would be worse than leaving the situation as it is now. If we allow companies to list some excipients, but not all,	Better than option B. But I prefer Option A.	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
<p>have to list ingredients there is no reason why pharma companies should not have to do it too.</p>	<p>then consumers still won't have all the information but might think that they do. It could be quite misleading.</p> <p>I am guessing that pharma companies will tell you that suppliers of special components like capsule shells etc. won't share their ingredient lists with the pharma companies, making it 'impossible' for pharma companies to comply with Option A. This is not a good argument. No company should be selling anything that people consume if they don't know exactly what is in it.</p> <p>Food manufacturers can obtain ingredient information for proprietary formulations, there is no reason why pharma companies cannot do this as well.</p>		
<p>I would like to know exactly what is in the medicines I give my children.</p>	<p>These is not acceptable as ingredient's I choose to not give my family may be present & I would be unaware.</p>	<p>Not acceptable. There needs to be transparency of ALL ingredients in a medicine.</p>	<p>Option A</p>
<p>Why hide ingredients behind a flavour or a fragrance? It is better to tell people what is in a product, it helps make a considered approach when choosing medicines.</p>	<p>Why hide ingredients behind a proprietary ingredient mix? Food products share their ingredients so why can't other products that are ingested? It is better to tell people what is in a product, it helps make a considered approach when choosing medicines.</p>	<p>Needs to change, it will allow consumers to make decisions and use products that are transparent and share their ingredients.</p>	<p>Option A</p>

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
All ingredients including those in flavours and fragrances should be displayed.	All ingredients including those that are part of a propriety ingredient mix should be displayed.	This is not an option.	Option B
I want to know every thing that is in it not just what the manufacturer wants me to know about it.	I want to know everything that is in the thing I am going to put in my body. I do not buy any foods or ingredients which contain additives/chemicals/numbers and yet if I need to take medication, I have no choice but to ingest these things!!!	Rubbish - this needs to change	Option A
As a consumer I want to be fully aware of every ingredient that is within a medicine that I am ingesting.	Not good enough, as someone with multiple sensitivities I must know exactly what is in a preparation that I am using.	Not good enough, as someone with multiple sensitivities I must know exactly what is in a preparation that I am using.	Option A
No show it all. I have a child with allergies and to dairy and soy, it is the base in SO many things but they fail to label anything with enough information.	All ingredients. The capsule shells often have a dairy or soy base that isn't listed. For people with allergies it's actually really hard to read the labels and know for sure if it's ok to take.	I think all ingredients need to be listed as it's extremely hard and time consuming having to call the company to ask what the ingredients are or if it contains my daughters allergens. Some times they don't even know and we have had reactions after being told it's safe.	Option A
Users should have as close to full discosure as possible	This excludes ingredients that some people could be allergic to.	Excipient ingredients should be listed.	Option A
All options are Unacceptable. If I am being asked to ingest medication then I should know exactly what I am putting in my body.	All options are unacceptable. If I am being asked to ingest medication then I should know exactly what I am putting in my body. Why is there no option for displaying every ingredient??	All options are Unacceptable. If I am being asked to ingest medication then I should know exactly what I am putting in my body. Why is there no option for displaying every ingredient??	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
Why is there no option for displaying every ingredient??			
Absolutely essential for a person with coeliac disease	Probably acceptable some of the time but not always and it only takes a small amount of gluten for most coeliacs to have reactions	Very difficult for pharmacists to find out if a medication is gluten free	Option A
Need to know ingredients in flavour or fragrance mix, because it might contain something a consumer is allergic/sensitive to (or wishes to avoid for some other reason)	Not good enough - need to know all ingredients to avoid any allergens.	Also not good enough, as ingredients are not required to be listed in full, therefore consumers don't know about potential allergens.	Option B
This appears to be the only option that means the consumer will know what the ingredients are. For coeliacs we need to know it is GF. It could be labeled GF if it contains no gluten instead of displaying all the ingredients. The current terminology "No added gluten" is confusing. Coeliacs need to be taken seriously - we cant take a medication to cure our condition - gluten free options are all we have.	Does not give all the information a coeliac needs. Coeliacs have probably been ingesting hidden gluten from medications for too long.	Not a good option	Option A
Are you talking about including medical drugs = medicines? If so yes	Explicient	No	Option B
As a person with coeliac disease I frequently struggle to find information			Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
about whether medicines contain gluten. For over the counter medications (analgesics, antihistamines etc) it is frequently not indicated on the package. Even when looking it up online it can be difficult to find. I would like the labelling of medicines to be the same as food labelling laws with regard to allergens such as gluten.			
Seems good.	Less good than A.	Not so good.	Option A
It is important to display all ingredients even flavour or fragrance ones because many people have intolerances to less common things	It is important to display all ingredients because many people have intolerances to less common things and especially colours	More information is needed. ALL ingredients should be shown.	Option A
Flavour can contain traces of allergens, this makes it difficult for people with allergies.	This option may not list allergens that are included as trace amounts.	Why do none of these options include listing all allergens present?	Option A
This still doesn't assist people with allergic reactions to ingredients that are not considered common. If flavours or fragrances are secret, there still needs to be a statement showing what the flavour or fragrance is called - the list of all ingredients in this flavour or fragrance would then need to be available for a pharmacist, GP or	This still doesn't assist people with allergic reactions to ingredients that are not considered common. If proprietary ingredient mixes are secret, the mix should be registered with ARTG with a name that can be used to identify the mix if there is a reaction - the list of all ingredients in this flavour or fragrance would then need to be available for a pharmacist, GP or allergy specialist	Insufficient listing. All products sold for human ingestion or absorption (eg: creams, lotions) need to give a full list of ALL ingredients. Some of us have anaphylactic reactions to ingredients that are not considered to be common allergens - these are often not the active ingredients.	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
allergy specialist to investigate in order to assist the patient to work out whether a product is safe for them.	to investigate in order to assist the patient to work out whether a product is safe for them.		
I think all ingredients need to be displayed clearly	Preservatives, colours, etc need to be displayed as some people have adverse reactions to these	No! I have tried to check my medicines for gluten and it is not easy, especially as generics do not contain the same inactive ingredients as brand names.	Option A
Good but not enough information for consumers.	I would like to know what preservatives, artificial colours, artificial flavors are being used by manufacturers.	Not enough information for educated consumers to access on medications.	Option B
Would be better to display all ingredients.	Would be better to display all ingredients.	There is not enough information in showing only active ingredients.	Option A
I feel that all ingredients should be listed as some people are allergic to flavour or fragrances.	I feel all should be listed as bases and preservatives, coating and colours are all things that people need to be aware of, especially if you have a child that is hyperactive etc.	NO,, Things need to change and the ingredients need to be visible including the inactive ingredients! Tho these may not be harmful to most people, they are to some and those are the ones that need to know.	Option B
That's ok.	Due to the varying trade names for the same medicines, capsule shells etc may vary in ingredients. Less preferable.	Inadequate	Option A
I think all ingredients present should be labelled as that level of transparency is required for foods and both medicines and foods enter your body or could be absorbed through the skin. The	Not transparent enough at all	Not transparent enough at all	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
amount of the 'secret' flavour or fragrance mixes need not be displayed perhaps or a general title for 'flowers' or 'spices' only rather than individual names could keep the fragrance or flavour 'secret'.			
I want to know what I am putting in my mouth and digestive system so why have any exceptions? Having read the other options though this is probably the least worst option - none are what I want though, but there is no place to say that in this survey	again, I want to know what I am putting in my mouth and digestive system so why have any exceptions?	This option gives me even less information than the others so no! Every compound that is ingested should be known	Option A
All ingredients need to be displayed clearly, particularly those that use wheat or wheat-based products like syrups	Display all the ingredients - I have a right to know what I am swallowing or taking.	Any ingredient that may cause someone a problem or inflame an allergy needs to be displayed.	Option A
Should be labelled with list of ingredients same as food is with possible allergens highlighted. Should at least clearly start in an obvious place on package if contains any allergens such as wheat, gluten etc.	As for option A.	It is very difficult presently to determine what is medications and at times it has been necessary to contact manufacturer to determine if medication is gluten free. Should state if it is gluten free. Should not be allowed to label it as no added gluten as this is meaningless and on contacting manufacturers I have been told products couldn't be guaranteed as gluten free	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
Everything single ingredient it takes to make that medicine should be displayed. Flavour & fragrance are just as bad at setting off allergies as other ingredients.			Option A
As an individual with coeliac disease and as a parent of a child with coeliac disease, I find this option pointless. What I need to know is if any part of the medication contains wheat, barley, rye or oats.	As an individual with coeliac disease and as a parent of a child with coeliac disease, I find this option pointless. What I need to know is if any part of the medication contains wheat, barley, rye or oats.	As an individual with coeliac disease and as a parent of a child with coeliac disease, I find this option pointless. What I need to know is if any part of the medication contains wheat, barley, rye or oats.	Option B
All ingredients should be listed to avoid any possible complication.	All ingredients should be listed, no exemptions.	Up-date to list all ingredients	Option A
For people with allergies, they need to have ALL ingredients listed. Also any allergens need to be identified.	For people with allergies, they need to have ALL ingredients listed. Also any allergens need to be identified.	For people with allergies, they need to have ALL ingredients listed. Also any allergens need to be identified.	Option A
This is a great idea; it would help to allay any fears one may have about the presence of gluten in medications, information which is not readily to the consumer/ sufferer under the current regime. It would also help if the supplier would be required to state that any commercially sensitive ingredient used	Please refer to my comments on Option 1.	This option is better than none, but there is an increasing need in the community for more reassurance concerning the hidden components of the product.	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
was "gluten free" thereby reassuring the user of the quality of the product and also protecting the commercial property in the mix.			
All ingredients need to be displayed so people with coeliac disease can determine if a product is gluten free	All ingredients need to be displayed so people with coeliac disease can determine if a product is gluten free	There is currently not enough information available on most products. Especially in regards to a product's gluten status	Option A
Agree unless the ingredients in flavour is derived from a gluten containing source). Then it should be identified.	Agree unless the ingredients in PI are derived from a gluten containing source). Then it should be identified.	I would also refer to PI and Cmi for ingredient info.	Option B
Unless there is an issue of intellectual property protection with ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance mix, I believe that these ingredients should be displayed. Many people may be sensitive to certain flavours or fragrances, even if they are not allergic to them.	It is important for companies to protect their intellectual property and therefore it is reasonable that ingredients that are part of a proprietary ingredient mix are not divulged - unless they contain an allergen.	I believe that people have a right to know the excipient ingredients and that it should be easy to obtain this information. Therefore, status quo is my least favoured option.	Option B
yes	yes	no	Option C
While this idea is good, there seems to be the possibility that the flavour or fragrance may contain gluten.	This is definitely not enough information.	This makes it difficult sometimes to find out whether the medicine contains gluten.	Option A
All ingredients should be displayed- if you are ingesting the product you have a right to know	These ingredients can affect health and should be displayed or easily accessed by consumers	Not enough information, especially for people with food intolerances	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
I think you should go further than option A and have all ingredients listed, just like food and beverages. An individual may be allergic to an ingredient that is part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix and will not know that their allergen is present.			Option A
If flavour or fragrance ingredients contain allergens then they need to be declared also. It seems like this option might have the most transparency of all three options though.	Capsule shells and coatings often contain gluten and as someone with coeliac disease this is an unacceptable option. Allergens should ALWAYS be declared no matter whether they are part of a proprietary mix or not.	This seems to have the least transparency. More ingredients being available is better.	Option A
I am coeliac and find the current labelling on medicines is not good enough for me to determine if a medicine contains gluten and is safe for me to use. Any improvement to this would be good. Even flavour or fragrance ingredients should be listed if they contain allergens or items that coeliacs (or others) react to.	I am coeliac and find the current labelling on medicines is not good enough for me to determine if a medicine contains gluten and is safe for me to use. Any improvement to this would be good. Even proprietary ingredients should be listed if they contain allergens or items that coeliacs (or others) react to	I think this is not the best option for transparency for users.	Option A
Yes all ingredients & E numbers should be listed & as much information about what is in it should be included so consumers can make an informed		No as this allows companies to refuse to divulge ingredient lists even when asked	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
choice forcing manufacturers to make better choices			
No all ingredients should be shown	No all ingredients should be shown	No all ingredients should be shown	Option A
this would be very beneficial as I have an allergy to alpha-gal which is found in mammal products many tablets use Magnesium Stearate as an excipient - which can be derived from both mammals and plant so I have to check with the manufacturer to see what they have used - sometimes they don't know. Once I was offered a generic replacement of medicine which pharmacist assured me was exactly the same as the name brand only to have an allergic reaction, after discussion with pharmacist they advised me that the meant exactly only to mean active ingredients.	I think that all ingredients should be advised	very dangerous for people who have allergies	Option A
All ingredients need to be available for Coeliacs.	All ingredients need to be available for Coeliacs	Definitely not. All ingredients need to be evident for Coeliacs on the packaging.	Option A
All ingredients should be displayed . There are so many patients with allergies nowadays and in order to ensure they avoid products they may have reactions to, having all products	No all ingredients should be listed to help patients avoid any ingredients that they may wish to avoid.	No this is not feasible in today's world with so many allergies and intolerances prevalent. It is not fair to patients to not label what is in the product they are consuming.	Option A

Option A: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except ingredients that are part of a flavour or fragrance ingredient mix	Option B: Display excipient ingredients present in the medicine, except those ingredients that are part of any type of proprietary ingredient mix – e.g. capsule shell formulations, cream bases, preservative mixes, coating solutions, colours	Option C: Continue with current requirements for how much ingredient information is available publicly on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods. This means that only the names and amounts of active ingredients in medicines will continue to be visible in ARTG public summaries	Which is your preferred option?
labeled in terms of all their ingredients is essential.			
Best of the options! Though not ideal as someone with medically diagnosed coeliac disease and who has a fairly asymptomatic response to gluten it's important for me to know if any of the medicines I may take to treat any illness or disease aren't doing me further harm in this regards because they contain an unlisted source of gluten.	Not a great option! As someone with medically diagnosed coeliac disease and who has a fairly asymptomatic response to gluten it's important for me to know if any of the medicines I may take to treat any illness or disease aren't doing me further harm in this regards because they contain an unlisted source of gluten.	Worst of the options! As someone with medically diagnosed coeliac disease and who has a fairly asymptomatic response to gluten it's important for me to know if any of the medicines I may take to treat any other illness or disease aren't doing me further harm in this regards because they contain an unlisted source of gluten.	Option A
This sounds better than options B or C.	Not as good as Option A.	Not good enough.	Option A
Out of the three options, this is preferred. However, all ingredients including flavour and fragrance should be labelled. As flavours are often the culprit for allergic reactions or sensitivities.	This option does not give enough information to the consumer to make educated choices.	This option does not help the consumer at all and sounds negligent to the rights of consumers.	Option A