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What is a PSUR (or PBRER-Periodic Benefit-Risk Evaluation Report)

- A Periodic Safety Update Report is a report submitted by sponsors describing the worldwide safety experience with a medicinal product at a defined time after its approval.
- The PSUR is an essential component of the lifecycle benefit-risk management of a medicinal product.
- The PSUR is NOT an appropriate or alternative channel for notification of Significant Safety Issues.
PSURs at the TGA

• The PSUR review process is evolving to emerge as an integral part of the TGA’s Enhanced Vigilance Framework.

• This process intends to provide the necessary safety counter balance, especially to early registrations of high priority medicinal products which have a nascent safety profile at the time of approval.
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PSUR requirements
TGA requirements for PSUR

- The TGA applies the requirement to submit PSUR as a condition of registration.
- PSURs are not required for all registered medicines. A risk-based approach is used to determine the requirement, frequency and duration of PSUR submission.
- PSUR submission is *always required* for provisional registration products, black triangle products, biosimilars and for vaccines.
- PSUR submission *may be required* for other products for which the TGA evaluates RMPs and on occasion in the absence of an RMP to assist in post-market safety monitoring.
### TGA requirements for PSUR…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| New chemical & biological entities, high risk extensions of indication and major variations assessed under the Standard or Priority registration pathways | • Usually require PSUR submissions at least annually until the submitted PSURs cover a period of not less than 3 years from the date of approval.  
• After the end of the initial 3-year period additional PSURs may be requested if continued close monitoring of the product’s safety is warranted. |
| Lower-risk extensions of indication and major variations assessed under the Standard or Priority registration pathways | • May require PSUR to be prepared but submitted only when the TGA requests them. |
| Provisionally registered medicines | • Usually require PSUR submissions regularly for a period longer than the standard 3 years, to account for the provisional registration period, which may last up to 6 years.  
• May require more frequent PSUR submissions, for example 6-monthly. |
PSUR submission format
PSUR submission format

- Currently, the TGA accepts PSUR submitted in the following formats:
  - eCTD (electronic Common Technical document) format *Preferred format*
  - NeeS (Non-eCTD electronic submission) format
  - Single Electronic files (pdf) via email *Not preferred. Accepted only when appropriately justified*

- PSUR submitted to fulfill the post-marketing conditions of registration, should be submitted as a standalone PSUR sequence in the same format as the registration dossier (eCTD/NeeS).
  *Please contact eSubmissions@health.gov.au for further guidance.*

- Providing a single, standardised dossier format is part of the TGA’s electronic dossier reforms agenda to provide an updated electronic submissions platform for Australian stakeholders. The proposed format of preference is eCTD.
  *Refer to the Electronic submissions and data review page for further information on reform activities within this space.*
PSUR submission format

Time to start moving to eCTD? Yes, please!
PSUR review process
PSUR review

The PSUR review team within the Risk Management Plan Evaluation Section tracks and reviews all PSUR which have been submitted to fulfill the post-market conditions of registrations.

- As with all other post-marketing safety related activities which are part of TGA’s Enhanced Medicines Vigilance Framework, the PSURs are prioritised for review using a risk-based approach, considering factors such as:
  - How much do we know about the product and its safety profile?
  - The product’s place in therapeutic strategy and phase of the product’s life cycle.
  - Nature of safety concerns associated with the product and their impact on public health.
  - What is the target population, is it changing/expanding?
  - What is the context in which the medicine is used and are there safety concerns specific to Australia?
PSUR review…

- PSUR review focuses primarily on evaluation of new or emerging information on the risks and efficacy/effectiveness.
- The PSUR review aims to put this new information in perspective with the cumulative, worldwide experience with the product, in order to assess the benefit-risk balance for approved indications.
- A PSUR review may be completed with variable levels of detail to suit the focus of concern for a product.
- Recommendations following PSUR review may include:
  - Maintenance - No further evaluation/action recommended.
  - Variations - PI updates/RMP updates.
  - Signal Evaluation recommended.
  - Additional data review/analyses requests to be included in the next PSUR.
PSUR in the product vigilance framework
PSUR in the product vigilance framework
PSUR review: *Liaising for enhanced surveillance*
PSUR review: *Liaising for enhanced surveillance*

- PSUR reviewers liaise with relevant PMAB delegates to discuss the potential post-market safety implications of ongoing evaluations and the feasibility of addressing PI updates through the ongoing submission process.

- Clinical delegates refer to PSUR review reports during evaluation of applications for extensions of indications and major variations.
PSUR Review: *Liaising for enhanced surveillance*

**Signal Investigation Unit (SIU)**

- PSUR reviewers notify SIU and discuss potential signals/safety issues identified on PSUR review. These may be investigated further by SIU and may warrant regulatory actions including PI updates. Such PI updates are requested and managed by SIU evaluators.

- SIU evaluators notify the PSUR review team of significant safety issues and new safety signals identified by SIU. This information has a significant bearing on the prioritisation and review process of current and future PSUR.
**PSUR review: Liaising for enhanced surveillance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Management Plan Evaluation Section</th>
<th>PV Inspection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- PSUR reviewers share RMP relevant recommendations based on their review with the RMP evaluation team. (Example: Proposed changes to the Summary of safety concerns, potential RMP updates, effectiveness of risk min activities, etc.) - RMP evaluators identify and recommend safety concerns to be additionally/specifically targeted during PSUR review during RMP evaluations.</td>
<td>- PSUR reviewers notify the PVIP team of significant quality and/or potential compliance issues identified during PSUR review. - The information that PSUR reviewers in refer to the PVIP team is then taken into account in risk-based prioritisation for Pharmacovigilance Inspections.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Communicating with Sponsors
## What to expect as the Sponsor?

The PSUR reviewers will directly communicate with you to make the following types of recommendations following PSUR review:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PI Update</th>
<th>Additional data/Monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We may recommend a PI update when:</td>
<td>We may request:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-the safety issue or signal is identified based on the PSUR reviews and</td>
<td>-for additional safety data/signal analysis/review, separately or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>there is sufficient evidence within the PSUR data to warrant a PI</td>
<td>within the next PSUR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>change.</td>
<td>-to closely monitor and report on specific safety topics within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-further signal investigation by SIU is not necessary to support the</td>
<td>subsequent PSURs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>update.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PI update recommendations

• If we identify a need to update the Product Information as a result of our PSUR review, we will communicate this recommendation to you in writing.

• If you wish to provide additional information in response to our recommendation, please ensure that you submit robust evidence in the form of additional relevant data reviews or analysis and not simply a repetition of data reviews/analysis already provided within the PSUR.

• Your response will be reviewed and when the PI changes are finalised, the PSUR reviewer will request you to submit an application for an SRR (Safety Related Request).
Requests for additional data/monitoring

• Based on PSUR review, we may, with a rationale, request you to closely monitor and report on additional certain safety topics in the next PSUR along with the proposed risk minimisation plan for the same, if necessary.

• If we disagree with your proposal to discontinue specific monitoring in the future PSURs, then we will communicate to you regarding the recommendation to continue monitoring and evaluation with a concise rationale for the same.

• We may also request you to provide the adequate level/quality of information and analysis during the review when necessary.
What’s new for sponsors?
Recapitulating…

• Submitting a PSUR is **not** an alternative channel for notifying the TGA of a **significant safety issue** and will not be considered a fulfilment of your pharmacovigilance responsibilities as the sponsor, in this regard.
• PSUR are prioritised based on risk and regularly reviewed by the PSUR review team of TGA.
• PI update and additional data/monitoring requests based on PSUR reviews communicated to you directly by the PSUR reviewers.
• PSUR quality and compliance issues tracked and flagged to the PV inspection program.
• Please submit PSURs as standalone sequences and start considering the move to eCTD format for submissions.
• We are considering the need for further guidance for industry on PSUR.
THANK YOU!