Food-Medicine Interface Guidance Tool diagram

Q1. Is the product for oral use for humans?
   Yes → Issue of the food interface does not arise (may be a “therapeutic good”)
   No → Q2.

Q2. Is there a (post 2010) s.7 declaration in relation to the product that it is a therapeutic good?
   Yes → The product is a “therapeutic good”, not food
   No → Q3.

Q3. Is the product covered by a s.7AA declaration?
   Yes → The product is not a “therapeutic good”. It may be “food” within state/territory food regulation legislation and/or regulated under other state/territory legislation
   No → Q4.

Q4. Is the product “goods for which there is a standard” in the Food Standards Code?
   Yes → The product is not a “therapeutic good”. It is likely to be “food” within state/territory food regulation legislation and/or regulated under other state/territory legislation
   No → Q5.

Q5. Is the product goods which, in Australia or NZ, have a tradition of use as foods for humans in the form in which the thing is presented?
   Yes → The product is not a “therapeutic good”. It is likely to be “food” within state/territory food regulation legislation and/or regulated under other state/territory legislation
   No → Q6.

Q6. Is the product any of the following:
   1. represented in any way to be for therapeutic use?
   2. likely to be taken to be for therapeutic use because of the way in which it is presented?
   3. likely to be taken to be for therapeutic use for any other reason?
      Yes → The product is a “therapeutic good”, not food
      No → Q7.

Q7. Is the product in a class of goods the sole or principal use of which is, or ordinarily is, a therapeutic use?
   Yes → The product is a “therapeutic good”, not food
   No → If it is not a biological or medical device, the product is not a “therapeutic good”. It may be “food” within state/territory food regulation legislation