

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** final for review : IS A RIS REQUIRED? Up Scheduling Codeine [DLM=For-Official-Use-Only]  
**Date:** Friday, 23 October 2015 9:03:13 AM  
**Attachments:** [IS A RIS REQUIRED Up Scheduling Codeine.tr5](#)

---

All

Please find attached the final draft of the "IS A RIS REQUIRED? Up Scheduling Codeine". Many thanks to [REDACTED] for wrangling all of the participants and input.

The OBPR contacted [REDACTED] and have requested that the document be provided to them by COB today at the latest. This may be able to be extend to Monday morning to enable clearance.

The OBPR also wanted to know if it was possible for the TGA to provide the number of affected businesses and data provided by [REDACTED] has been included in the attached.

[REDACTED] did discuss with OBPR the need for a RIS and whether a RIS can be taken into account at all in making this decision as s 52E(1) is about public health matters, not economic and that the delegate can't take it into account. However the OBPR were adamant that a preliminary assessment is required for up-scheduling matters, and a RIS if they determine that the proposed change is more than a minor or machinery in nature.

Could you review and provide comments asap so that the form can be provided to OBPR? Please provide comments to [REDACTED] or preferably into TRIM.

I would suggest that we request a meeting with OBPR to discuss the contents and that as the consultation has just closed and is yet to be considered by the delegate that we suggest this form is draft until finalised.

Thanks  
[REDACTED]

-----< HP TRIM Record Information >-----  
Record Number:R15/790783  
Title:IS A RIS REQUIRED? Up Scheduling Codeine