

NATERA, Julian

From: Phillip Cooley <Phillip.Cooley@tga.gov.au>
Sent: Tuesday, 12 May 2015 3:32 PM
Subject: RE: URGENT response: MC15-007924 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Vinod

No. The issue came up during development of the consultation paper but no hard analysis of ACE on small business was undertaken at that time as we had not identified a preferred pathway for the new scheme until after the consultation.

The subsequent RBM costing (for RIS) was calculated on the basis of the actual number of sponsors/entries subject to the current LVT who would be affected by a change to an ACE scheme – again these include small, medium and large business sponsors.

- The stand-alone small business impact question was not addressed in the RBM analysis as a No Supply or \$0 turnover scheme were (already) identified as the preferred options to deregulate the LVT scheme.
- I recall us discussing and agreeing that the impact of the new scheme would be assessed at the “Business” and “Not by size of business” level. A quick revisit of the RBM compliance cost constraints confirms as much (detail below).

Show off help

Set up compliance cost constraints

Which segment does your proposal effect? It's mandatory to cost at least 1 cost segm

Business Individuals Community Organisation

Business

Ongoing cost type: Constant Variable (i.e. change from year to year)

How many years are you costing? 10

Categorise cost: By size of business (e.g Small, Medium, Large) Not by size of business

On the plus side, we did prepare numerous sample analyses across the different sectors for ACE impacts however these were for specified sponsors who were being consulted in each of those sectors – they were not specifically small business (only) sponsors.

Regards

Phillip Cooley
 Assistant Director
 Regulatory Decision Review
 Regulatory Business Services Branch

Phone: 02 6221 6934 Fax: 02 6232 8122

Email: phillip.cooley@tga.gov.au

Therapeutic Goods Administration

Department of Health
PO Box 100
Woden ACT 2606 Australia
www.tga.gov.au

From: Vinod Mahajan
Sent: Tuesday, 12 May 2015 2:50 PM
To: Phillip Cooley
Subject: FW: URGENT response: MC15-007924 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Hi Phill,

As part of RIS costing, did we do an impact analysis on small business as per Nicole email below?

Regards

Vinod

Vinod Mahajan B.Com, CPA, FCA (ICAI)
Director
Regulatory Decision Review

Phone: 02 6221 6931 Fax: 02 6232 8222
Mobile: 0423 027 090
Email: vinod.mahajan@tga.gov.au

Therapeutic Goods Administration

Department of Health
PO Box 100
Woden ACT 2606 Australia
www.tga.gov.au

From: Nicole McLay
Sent: Monday, 11 May 2015 5:07 PM
To: Vinod Mahajan; Phillip Cooley
Cc: Joe Menegazzo
Subject: FW: URGENT response: MC15-007924 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Hi Vinod and Phillip

Did you at one stage do some analysis on small business using a certain number of products as the indicator of sponsor size, and the impact of change from LVT to ACE? Taking into account the small businesses not claiming LVT? There was also some work you did for the letters back to ADIA.

I think we need to make a point in the talking points that TG regulation is cost recovered and annual charges are the means by which we recover post market monitoring and compliance activity.

There is cross subsidisation in the current scheme that needs to be addressed.

Note - There is a short response time on this request, so we will need to prioritise it in the ACE work.

Joe – I thought that our significant discrepancy in the CRISs was comp meds, but is it right that devices post market costs 8.4m and we're recovering 20m?

Do the financial forecasts in the CRISs take into account the charge reductions of 5 and 23% (please include).

Why then is device annual charge revenue increasing?

Sorry, while we're on CRISs, what/who is the source of the volumes and how have they been verified?

Thanks
Nicole

From: Katherine (Katie) Wineland **On Behalf Of** TGA Parliamentary
Sent: Monday, 11 May 2015 4:50 PM
To: Nicole McLay; Vinod Mahajan
Subject: URGENT response: MC15-007924 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Good afternoon Nicole & Vinod

Please find attached a request for response and talking points.

Correspondence number – MC15-007924

Marked for ministerial response.

Due to Parliamentary, FAS cleared – COB Friday 15 May 2015.

I am sorry about this short turnaround, but we only received it this afternoon.

Notes:

PDF also at R15/389968

They have requested a response as well as talking points for a meeting that the Assistant Minister will have with ADIA.

Many thanks

Katie

TGA Parliamentary

Lisa Selems / Katie Wineland / Tara Condon
Business Capability and Committee Support
Regulatory Engagement, Education & Planning Branch

Phone: 02 6232 8069 / 02 6232 8812 / 02 6232 8826

Mobile: 0412 052 461

Email: tga.parliamentary@tga.gov.au

Therapeutic Goods Administration

Department of Health
PO Box 100
Woden ACT 2606 Australia
www.tga.gov.au