

April, 2005



Mr Terry Slater  
 National Manager  
 TGA  
 PO Box 100  
 Woden, ACT 2606

*Dr. Anne Lewis*  
 M.B.B.S. F.A.C.D.  
 DERMATOLOGIST  
 127 Smart Road  
 Modbury 5092  
 Prov. No. 032282TL

Dear Mr Slater

**AUSTRALIAN REVIEW OF POLICY FRAMEWORK FOR REGULATING PRODUCTS AT THE COSMETIC/THERAPEUTIC INTERFACE SPECIFICALLY SUNSCREEN PRODUCTS**

I strongly oppose the suggestion to deregulate secondary sunscreens with an SPF of no more than 20.

*Regulation should apply to all creams with SPF 15 or over less than 15 - not much use anyway so doesn't matter*  
 Sun protection is vital and certainly products with an SPF on the label are seen as providing an important therapeutic benefit.

*SPF 15 - gives reasonable protection so need to know that really is SPF 15 or more*  
Thanks

The mention of the SPF on the label is itself a claim for efficacy of the product, for prevention of sunburn and skin cancer. The SPF is obtained by measurement at a specified application rate (usually 2mg/cm<sup>2</sup>). It is important that label instructions advise how to apply the product to achieve the SPF.

The SPF is also dependent on quality manufacturing methods, stability of the formulation and use before a proven expiry date. There must continue to be controls by the TGA over all these aspects.

The TGA should require all sunscreen products to be listed on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods to ensure compliance with appropriate test method, quality standards, stability tests, Good Manufacturing Practice and the Australian Standard.

I believe that consumers and their pharmacy and medical professionals need the confidence that this system provides. Anything less is a compromise of public health.

I would be most concerned if any product that makes any claim for sun protection or SPF could be sold as a cosmetic without this level of control by the TGA.

Yours sincerely,

*Dr ANNE LEWIS*

*D*